August 4, 2017

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION UNITED STATES OF) AMERICA,) Deposition of:	
UNITED STATES OF)	
) Deposition of:	
Plaintiff,) TODD F. ANDERSON)	
vs.) Time on record:	
RAPOWER-3, LLC,) 4 Hours 14 Minutes INTERNATIONAL)	
AUTOMATED SYSTEMS,) INC., LTB1, LLC,) Case No. 2:15-cv-008 R. GREGORY SHEPARD,) NELDON JOHNSON, and)	328 DN
ROGER FREEBORN,) Judge David Nuffer Defendants.)	
August 4, 2017 * 8:02 a.m. to 1:36 p.m.	
Location: Internal Revenue Service	
Location: Internal Revenue Service 173 East 100 North	
173 East 100 North Provo, Utah Plai	intiff hibit

Henderson Legal Services, Inc. www.hendersonlegalservices.com

August 4, 2017

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

2 (1 ages 2 to 3)
4
EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 576 Document produced by Todd 163 Anderson pursuant to Subpoena, Bates Nos. Anderson 000153-160 Exhibit 577 Document produced by Todd 164 Anderson pursuant to Subpoena, Bates No. Anderson 000210 EXHIBITS PREVIOUSLY MARKED NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 23 8-8-12 letter to Potential 111
Rapower-3 Customer, Bates Nos. US001654-1658 Exhibit 480 Cease and Desist Letter to 166 Neldon Johnson from Tate W. Bennett, Bates Nos. Anderson_Todd-00024-00026
Exhibit 548 12-1-10 Document from RaPower-3 158 website, Bates Nos. Ra3 011818-11820, "Confidential 0 Attorneys' Eyes Only"
19 20 *** 21 22 23 24 25
5
PROCEEDINGS TODD F. ANDERSON, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. MORAN: Q. Good morning, Mr. Anderson. We're on the record in the case of United States versus RaPower-3,
tal. We were introduced earlier, but I'll introduce myself again for the record. My name is Chris Moran. I'm with the United States Department of Justice Tax Division appearing on behalf of the United States.
will the other counsel in the room please make their appearances, starting with Ms. Healy-Gallagher. Ms. ERIN HEALY-GALLAGHER: Erin Healy-Gallagher, United States Department of Justice Tax Division, for the United States. MR. PAUL: Steven Paul representing RaPower-3 and its entities along with Neldon Johnson. MR. NIELSON: Joshua Nielsen representing

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

6 Todd Anderson. And for me, it's my job to ask MR. MARTIN: Byron Martin representing Todd Anderson. understandable questions, so if you don't understand MR. MORAN: On the phone with us is Erin a question and you don't understand what I'm asking, just tell me to rephrase, and I'll do my best to Hines, counsel for the United States, and counsel for R. Gregory Shepard and Roger Freeborn, Mr. Donald clear it up for you. Reay, is not present. Fair? This deposition will be governed by the Yes. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the local rules Okay. Today there may be other attorneys 10 of the District of Utah. At the end of the day. in the room who place an objection on the record. 11 11 we'll be leaving all the exhibits we mark with the That's their right and obligation. They're just 12 court reporter. making that note for the record, but regardless of 13 13 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, could you that objection, you need to answer the question, 14 14 please state your name and address for the record? unless your attorney instructs you not to answer. 15 Your business address is fine. Do you understand? A. Todd F. Anderson, 259 North Highway 6, A. Yes. 17 Q. Sometimes it may happen during the course Delta, Utah. 18 Q. Mr. Anderson, have you ever been deposed 18 of the deposition that you realize an answer you gave 19 19 before? previously wasn't completely correct or you want to 20 20 A. No. elaborate upon the answer you gave. That's fine. 21 21 Q. Okay. Have you taken a deposition? Just tell me that you want to elaborate or expand 22 22 A. Yes. upon an answer, and I'll give you the time to clear 23 23 Okay. So you're probably familiar with that up. 24 some of the ground rules, but I'm going to go over Do you understand? 25 them again. I apologize if you already know all 7 Q. Okay. Throughout the day we may need to this. In this deposition today, I'm going to be take a break. I'll try to stop every 90 minutes or asking you a series of questions. Other attorneys in so for bathroom breaks. The room is crowded, so we the room may have some questions for you as well. In definitely don't want to get too close to each other. order to accomplish what we're trying to do here, it So if you need to take a break, just let me know. is essential that we have a back and forth between The only thing I ask is that you not take you and I where I finish my questions before you a break while a question is pending. begin to answer. Do you understand? Do you understand that? A. Yes. 10 Q. Similarly, if you need to talk to one of A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Okay. In other words, we don't want to your attorneys, that's fine, but I'd only ask if 12 talk over each other. 12 there's a question pending, you answer the question 13 13 Do you understand that? completely and truthfully before consulting with your A. Yes. attorneys. 15 15 Q. Okay. You're doing a good job of this so Do you understand that? 16 far, but verbal answers are essential, so no huh-uhs. 16 A. I will make my best effort. 17 We need yes or nos. Similarly, shaking of the head Q. Do you understand? 18 can't be recorded by the court reporter. You need to Yes. 19 19 speak loudly enough for the court reporter to hear Q. Okay. Mr. Anderson, because we're here to 20 20 you. get as accurate a record as possible, I have to ask 21 21 Do you understand that? you a few questions that may seem odd, but is there 22 22 A. Yes. anything that would prevent you from understanding 23 23 Q. When I do finish a question, I need you to and answering my questions before we move forward 24 24 give full and complete answers. today? Do you understand that obligation? A. No.

August 4, 2017

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

10 12 Q. Have you had anything alcoholic to drink in the past eight hours? Do you have any children? A. No. Q. Are you feeling sick or unwell? Q. How many? A. Do you want honest? Yes. A. Four. Q. Well --Okay. Just give me their names and ages. A. Just nerves. A. Danika is six, Finn is five, Will is three, Ella is one and a half. Q. Just nerves? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned you were married. 10 10 Q. Okay. I understand that. What's the name of your spouse? 11 11 Again, is there any reason that would A. Jessica. 12 12 prevent from you answering questions? Q. Okay. We'll talk about that in a bit. 13 13 Can you walk me through your education 14 14 Q. Okay. Are you currently under a doctor's starting with -- I assume you graduated from high 15 15 care for any illness, any illness that would prevent school? you from answering questions truthfully? A. From high school? 17 17 Q. From high school, yes. 18 18 Okay. Any other reason you can think of A. I attended one year at Snow College in 19 19 that you will not be able to answer my questions Ephraim, Utah. After a semester break, I enrolled at 20 fully and truthfully? Utah Valley -- it was called Utah Valley State 21 21 A. No. College at the time. It's now called Utah Valley 22 22 Q. All right. We're going to start out with University. Completed a business -- or a Bachelor's 23 23 some of your background to understand how you came to degree in business management. About three years have some knowledge of the facts of this case. after completing that degree, I was accepted to 25 MR. PAUL: Chris, before you get into your Oklahoma City School of Law and received my juris 11 13 questions, I just want to emphasize on the record doctorate. that there has been -- there was previously a Q. Let me back up a little bit. challenge related to the attorney-client privilege. When did you get your Bachelor's? However, because the Defendants are relying on -- the A. I don't know the exact year. defense is relying on legal counsel, there's been a Q. Can you put it between some time frame? hearing, and that privilege has been waived. 2004/2005. Therefore, to the extent of the Okay. And then you went straight to law attorney-client privilege, I just want to go on the school? record to note that that has been waived, just for A. No. Q. Okay. What did you do in between getting the comfort of the witness, and you have your own 11 11 attorney present who can object to questions that may your Bachelor's and law school? 12 impact the attorney-client privilege between you and 12 A. I managed a John Deere dealership for two 13 13 him, but as far as the Defendants' privilege related to three years. to your work product, that privilege has been waived. Q. Where was that? 15 MR. MORAN: Thank you, Mr. Paul, for that 15 A. Delta. 16 clarification. 16 Q. Okay. When did you start law school at 17 MR. PAUL: You're welcome. 17 Oklahoma City was it? 18 18 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, how old are A. Uh-huh (yes). I don't know. 19 19 you? Q. Approximately is fine. 20 20 A. Thirty-six. A. Yeah. I graduated 2009. I finished in 21 21 Q. Thirty-six. Okay. Are you married? three years. 22 22 Q. So somewhere around 2006? 23 23 Q. How long have you been married? 24 24 A. Since 2001. Q. Let's go back to one thing. Q. Okay. So 16 years? Did you grow up in Delta?

August 4, 2017

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

14	16
¹ A. Yes.	A. Prosecuted the attorney was a City
A. 163.	A. Prosecuted the attorney was a City
Q. Okay. Tou were boilt there then:	Attorney, so raid prosecution. Taldir t do ally
A. 165.	deletise work, just civil, substantial amount of
⁴ Q. Are your parents still there?	⁴ bankruptcies.
⁵ A. Yes.	⁵ Q. All right. How long were you in the
⁶ Q. Okay. Any brothers or sisters?	⁶ Oklahoma firm, Oklahoma solo practitioner?
Do you have any brothers or sisters?	A. Yeah. I started after my first no.
8 A. Yes.	Sorry. I started my second year.
⁹ Q. Okay. How many?	⁹ Q. Okay. So you started your second year of
¹⁰ A. Step or biological?	law school, and then you worked for a period of time
¹¹ Q. Biological.	after you graduated?
A. There's eight, so I have well, sorry.	A. I continued I started with this firm in
One passed away two weeks ago.	my second year of law school and continued after
Q. So sorry to hear that.	14 graduation.
A. Thank you. So there's now six brothers	¹⁵ Q. For about how long after graduation?
A. Illank you. So there's now six brothers	Q. I of about now long after graduation:
and sisters, seven total, including me.	A. Onthi i graduated. I worked through the
Q. Okay. And do most of them live around	Summer, I worked until the next Christinas.
Della:	Q. Okay. 30 it soulids like somewhere around
A. Myself, a sister and two brothers.	the beginning of 2010 you moved to Utah?
Q. Okay. Everyone else moved away?	A. Yes. I started I went to work in my
A. Everyone else lives in state, in Utah.	own office January of 2010.
²² Q. Okay. Nearby Delta?	Q. Okay. And that was in Delta?
²³ A. No.	²³ A. Yes.
²⁴ Q. All right. You said you graduated from	Q. Okay. So basically you moved home?
law school in 2009.	²⁵ A. Yes.
15 Did you take the Bar exam?	17 Q. All right. And you opened an office in
A. 165.	Delia?
Q. Where?	A. 165.
4 A. Utah.	Q. Okay. Is that the office you the
Q. Okay. Did you pass?	5 current offices you have?
⁶ A. Yes.	6 A. No.
⁷ Q. Okay. So have you been a practicing	⁷ Q. Different location?
⁸ attorney since 2009?	⁸ A. Yes.
⁹ A. Yes.	⁹ Q. What was the location you were at in 2010?
¹⁰ Q. Okay. What was your first job out of law	A. The address?
school?	Q. If you can recall.
A. Out of law school?	A. I don't.
¹³ Q. Yes.	Q. Okay. Do you know if it was in a certain
¹⁴ A. I worked for a short time continuing to	shopping center?
intern in Oklahoma City. I was never licensed in	Delta's not a big town, right?
Oklahoma, so I was just an intern. Then once I moved	¹⁶ A. No.
back to Utah, I started my own practice.	Q. Okay. Is it near a certain corner?
¹⁸ Q. Okay. When you were working in Oklahoma,	A. I honestly don't remember.
19 was that at a firm?	¹⁹ Q. Okay.
²⁰ A. Solo practitioner, small firm.	A. It was a basement office underneath a
Q. What kind of work were you doing?	21 dentist's office.
A. General practice.	Q. All right. And when did you leave that
Q. Whatever came in the door?	location? Approximate's fine.
24 A. Yes.	A. I was in that basement office for probably
²⁵ Q. So civil and criminal?	25 two years.

August 4, 2017

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

18 20 Q. Okay. So when you started your firm, was A. How long had she been an attorney? She it just you? was sworn in in October of 2010. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Where did she go to law school? Q. Let's back up. Did you have any focuses A. Oklahoma City University School of Law. Q. Okay. So both of you went to law school in law school? A. No. together? A. Correct. O Okay. Did you take any tax classes in law school? Q. Okay. So at that point I think you probably had a few children, right? A. No. 10 10 Q. Okay. So no concentrations in law school, A No 11 11 nothing like that? Q. No? Okay. So Jessica went to law school? 12 A. Well, Danika was -- sorry. Danika was A. To be honest, I knew I was coming back 13 13 to -- just trying to speed things up a little bit, I born in September of 2010. 14 14 was coming back to Delta. That was my plan when I Q. Okay. 15 15 started law school. As you said, Delta is a small A. And that was our first child, so allow me town. I knew that I had to be a general practice to correct myself. We had one child. 17 17 attorney. My emphasis was on learning as much, or as Q. No problem. A. Okay. 18 broad a swath as I could. 18 19 19 Q. Okay. Q. All right. So Jessica Anderson started 20 A. And when I sought employment to gain working at your firm. 21 21 experience in Oklahoma, I also looked for a general Was she a partner? 22 22 practice attorney who would be doing similar types of A. I don't know how to answer that question. 23 23 things that I anticipated doing in Delta. I guess I need more specifics on what you define 24 Q. So you said a lot of bankruptcy? 25 25 A. Just because that's what that firm -- they Q. I'll rephrase. 19 21 ended up with a lot of bankruptcy, but they did a lot What were her duties at the firm when she of general practice work. came on in October 2010? Q. All right. So beginning in 2010 you came A. To help me. back to Delta, opened your own firm. Q. Okay. Was she a practicing attorney? You were a sole practitioner at that A. Her primary -- her primary job was to be point? Mom. Q. To be a mom? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What types of cases were you A. To be a mom, but she worked with me as much as possible. working on at that point? 10 A. Family law, domestic, wills and trusts, Q. Do you know if she took any classes -- did 11 probates. I took a few criminal defense cases when I 11 she have any focuses or concentrations while she was 12 first started, but largely what walked in the door. 12 in law school? 13 13 Q. Okay. With the exception of Mr. Paul's A. I wouldn't say it was a concentration. clients, were you involved in any tax matters? Q. What are you thinking of? 15 15 A. Your question is does she have a A. No. 16 Q. Okay. All right. Did there come a time 16 concentration? The answer is no. 17 where you brought on any other attorneys? Q. Okay. Did she have any particular focus A. Yes. while she was in law school on any particular subject 19 19 Q. Okay. When was that? 20 20 A. In October, actually, October of 2010. A. No. 21 21 Q. Okay. And who was that? Q. Okay. Did she take any tax classes? A. Jessica Anderson. Yes 23 23 Is that your wife? Do you recall what those classes were? 24 A. Yes. A. No. Q. How long had she been an attorney? Q. Okay. Do you know how many tax classes

August 4, 2017

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

22 24 Q. Say 2010 to 2011. A. She took -- she took -- I don't know how Yes. many classes she took. She did take a fair amount of Q. She did all those things? tax classes. Q. Do you know if it was more than two? Q. What else did she do? A. Yes. A. That's a pretty -- that's about it. Q Was it more than three? Okay. Would she meet with clients? A. I'm not sure. Q. Okay. Do you think it was less than four? Would she do all these things without you 10 A. I really am not sure. being present? 11 11 Q. Okay. Do you know what types of tax A. Yes. 12 Q. So she was authorized to sign a firm classes they were? 13 13 A. No. letter? 14 14 Q. Okay. Are you familiar at all with the A. Yes. 15 Q. Was there any type of cases or matters subject matter of taxation? A. Yes. that she focused on? 17 Q. You are? How did you gain that knowledge? 17 A. A particular type of case? 18 A. Business experience. 18 Q. Yes. 19 19 Q. When you say "business experience," what 20 are you referring to? Q. Just whatever came in the door? 21 21 A. I took my undergrad in business 22 22 management. Before attending school, I co-managed Q. Whatever you needed help with? 23 23 A. Yes. the same John Deere dealership with my father. Obviously, tax is an issue in any business, so I Q. How much collaboration was there between 25 25 believe I have a general familiarity with tax. the of two you? 23 25 Q. So you understand concepts like accounts A. A lot. Q. Okay. I mean, at that point the two of receivable, accounts payable? A. Correct. Yes. you were basically new lawyers, right? Q. Are you familiar with what forms of tax A. Correct. returns a business would be required to file? Q. Starting out your firm? A. Not specifically. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going back to your wife's duties at Q. So would the two of you usually know what the law firm, at your firm, about how many hours was each other was working on? she there a day, or per week? I know it's hard A. Yes. 10 juggling children. Q. Okay. Would your firm typically be 11 A. Yeah. involved with business formation? 12 MR. PAUL: What kind of time frame are you 12 A. A little. 13 13 talking about? Early in 2010? Q. Okay. When I say "business formation," I 14 MR. MORAN: I'm talking about 2010, yes. mean someone comes in the door and they say I want to 15 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. start a business doing whatever. 16 Q. (By Mr. Moran) My understanding is she 16 Would you give them advice on whether or 17 17 wasn't there full time? not they should organize as an LLC or a corporation, 18 A. That's a safe -- yeah, correct, she was 18 that type of thing? 19 19 not there full time. A. From a liability standpoint. We generally 20 Q. Okay. You testified that your wife helped 20 would refer the question of which would have the 21 you at your firm. Can I ask you to get a little more 21 most -- best tax advantages to whatever accountant 22 specific than that. 22 they were working with. 23 Did she meet with clients? Did she draft 23 Q. Let me make sure I understand that. pleadings? Did she appear in court? 24 You would advise them on the liability A. At what time frame? part of it?

August 4, 2017

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

26 28 A. And the management, continued management meant to be, and I'll accept your answer. of the company, yes. A. Thank you. Q. What about securities compliance? Q. And what about the tax implications? Did you talk to your CPA about that? A. Generally. Q. Do you have any experience in that? Q. Okay. Is that because you didn't feel A. like you were qualified to give that kind of advice? Have you ever given legal advice on that? A. No. I'm not asking for client identifications, but is Q. No, you were not qualified? that part of your practice? 10 10 A. No, it was not because I did not feel I A. No. 11 11 was qualified. Q. Just so we're clear, do you have any 12 Q. Okay. What was it? Why would you refer formal education in tax? 13 13 them to a CPA? A. Beyond the competency that is part of a 14 14 A. My experience was and has been that once juris doctorate and a member of the Utah State Bar, 15 15 you -- once the attorney sets up the entity, the primary continued -- in a small business that we Q. Okay. So you never took any kind of 17 17 generally were working with, their long-term classes? 18 A. Yes. Sorry. You previously answered that interactions and annual tax filings are done with the 18 19 19 CPA, and I don't want to cause -- counter to what the question -- or you previously asked that question. 20 CPA would ultimately have liked to have done with the The answer was no then, and it's still no now. 21 21 Q. You've never taken accounting class? long-term management of that company. 22 22 Q. Okay. So you wanted to make sure the CPA A. Oh, sorry. All right. Can I clarify? 23 23 was on board with the decision? Q. Absolutely. Please do. 24 A. Correct. A. I believe your question was did I take any 25 25 Q. But do you understand the various tax tax classes in law school. 27 29 implications of how a client decides to organize a O Yes business? A. The answer is no. A. Generally. Q. Okay. Can you generally summarize that A. Did I take -- did I receive tax classes as part of my undergraduate education? for me? MR. MARTIN: Let me just pose an I can't recall any specific tax classes, objection. It's an incomplete hypothetical, it's a but there absolutely were accounting classes, yes. vague question. Q. And those accounting classes probably involved some discussion or education on general tax Go ahead and answer, if you can. THE WITNESS: Could you be more specific? concepts. 11 11 MR. MORAN: Sure. 12 Q. (By Mr. Moran) What are the tax 12 A. I can't remember the specifics of those 13 13 implications, very briefly, of deciding to form a classes, but there definitely were accounting classes business as an LLC? that you would anticipate and expect in a business 15 15 A. I mean, the objection -- it is an management degree. 16 incomplete hypothetical, and I'll give you an example 16 Q. Sure. What about your wife, does she have 17 17 as to if it's a sole member LLC, it's going to be any formal tax training, except for what you've 18 taxed as essentially a sole proprietorship, so if already testified to? 19 19 they don't have a partner, I would recommend that A. Jessica has the exact same degree that I 20 20 there would be -- they would not gain potential tax do from Utah Valley State College, which is a 21 advantages as filing as an LLC versus something else 21 Bachelor's degree in business management, and took 22 if they don't have a partner, if it's not a multi substantially similar cases -- or sorry. 23 23 member LLC, so your question is very broad in that Substantially similar classes. In fact, we were in 24 24 it's -- I can't answer it with any specificity. most of the same classes together. Q. It was a very broad question. It was Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that, except

August 4, 2017

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
30	32
¹ for the tax specific classes she took in law school,	1 A. No.
her tax and accounting background is the same as	² Q. Do you know Glenda Johnson?
³ yours?	3 A. Yes.
⁴ A. Yes.	⁴ Q. How do you know Glenda Johnson?
⁵ Q. Where did she grow up?	5 A. At the time when I had interactions with
6 A. Delta.	⁶ Neldon, she was married to Neldon.
⁷ Q. Okay. Did the two of you know each other	⁷ Q. Okay.
⁸ before you went to college?	8 A. Well, actually sorry. I don't know
9 A. We became Delta is small. I knew of	⁹ that they were married.
Jessica. I knew just about everybody I went to high	¹⁰ Q. Okay.
school with, but we became we started dating my	A. They held themselves out as heavily
senior year of high school, her junior year of high	12 involved.
13 school.	¹³ Q. Okay.
Q. Okay. So is she a year younger than you?	A. I don't know if they were married.
15 A. Yes.	Q. All right. Did you ever meet or hear of
¹⁶ Q. So she's about 35?	Glenda Johnson before you met Neldon Johnson?
17 A. Yes.	17 A. No.
¹⁸ Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to run	Q. Okay. Do you know where Neldon Johnson
through a series of entities and individuals. I'd	¹⁹ lives?
like you to tell me whether or not you're familiar	²⁰ A. I did in 2010.
with them and how.	Q. Okay. Do you know where he worked in
Neldon Johnson?	²² 2010?
23 A. Am I familiar with him?	²³ A. No.
²⁴ Q. Yes.	Q. Okay. Do you know where he had any
²⁵ A. Yes.	activities, any business activities?
31	33
¹ Q. How are you familiar with Mr. Johnson?	1 A. No.
A. He was a well, he was a former client,	² Q. All right. You mentioned agent of
and then I had involvement with him as an agent of	RaPower-3, LLC, when I asked you how you're familiar
⁴ RaPower.	4 with Neldon Johnson.
⁵ Q. All right. Let's impact that a little	5 Do you recall that testimony?
⁶ bit.	6 A. Yes.
When is the first time you met Neldon	⁷ Q. All right. What did you mean by that?
8 Johnson?	8 Who is the agent of RaPower-3?
9 A. 2010.	9 A. Well, I did work for I did legal work
¹⁰ Q. Okay. Was that the first time you had	¹⁰ for RaPower-3
heard his name?	11 Q. Okay.
12 A. Yes.	A at the request of Neldon Johnson.
Q. Okay. Did you know prior to 2010, did	Q. So was 2010 the first time you'd heard of
you know anyone else in the Johnson family?	14 RaPower-3?
¹⁵ A. No. Well, there are lots of Johnsons in	15 A. Yes.
Delta. I have no idea and I know lots of	Q. Okay. You said you did legal work for
Johnsons. I have no idea if Neldon is related to any	¹⁷ RaPower-3?
of those people that I know.	18 A. Yes.
¹⁹ Q. Okay. Do you know LeGrand Johnson?	Q. Okay. Did you ever do legal work for
20 A. No.	20 Neldon Johnson?
Q. Do you know Randall Johnson?	21 That's a yes or no guestion.
22 A. No.	MR. MARTIN: You can answer that.
Q. Also known as Randy Johnson?	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24 A. No.	Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. So both RaPower-3
²⁵ Q. How about Brenda Johnson?	and Neldon Johnson were clients of yours?
Q. 1.5 2552 2.51Md 051110511.	

August 4, 2017

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

34 36 clients, but not necessarily being an employee or an Okay. Was Glenda Johnson a client? officer at RaPower-3? A. That is absolutely a better explanation, Q. Okay. And you mentioned being an agent of yes. RaPower-3. Q. Okay. You used the term you may have been MR. PAUL: No. He mentioned that RaPower-3's general counsel for a brief moment. Neldon Johnson was an agent of RaPower-3. Do you recall when that was? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Which is your testimony? A. It was -- it was actually I had a meeting A. That Neldon was an agent of RaPower-3. with Neldon where we had this brief conversation, and 10 10 Q. Okay. Thank you for clearing that up. I believe the word "general counsel" was used where 11 Were you ever RaPower-3's general counsel? 11 he asked me to assist him in responding to an 12 accountant, and I believe in that letter I used the A. No. No. I -- no. In the terms that I 13 13 would define general counsel, no. words "general counsel." 14 14 I guess I'll clarify. I mean, there was a Q. Do you recall when that meeting was? 15 15 very brief moment that Neldon asserted that I would A. I can review my notes. be RaPower-3's general counsel as he asked me to do Q. We're going to look at a document. 17 17 very limited and specific things for RaPower-3, but I (EXHIBIT 569 WAS MARKED.) 18 never reached the point that I had a general 18 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been 19 19 understanding of RaPower-3 such that I could feel given a copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 569. I'd ask you 20 like I could have represented them in a general to review it and see if that refreshes your 21 21 recollection as to when you and Neldon Johnson had a counsel fashion. 22 22 Q. All right. Help me understand that. meeting where you -- I believe you testified you were 23 23 It sounds like Neldon Johnson wanted you reviewing questions from a CPA firm. A. Okay. to be RaPower-3's general counsel? 25 25 A. It was a we would like to consider you. (Witness examining document.) 35 37 It was -- I felt at the time it was almost an Q. (By Mr. Moran) Does looking at interview let's try it out process. Plaintiff's Exhibit 569 refresh your recollection? A. Refresh my recollection as to the question Q. Is that a role that you set up? of time? A. Huh? Q. Were you seeking out that role? Q. Yes. A. No. A. Yes. It was -- I mean, it was end of Q. Why not? September/first of October. The specific date I A. At the time I sought out work -- as we cannot recall. have covered, I started the first of that year, I was Q. Sure. And that's in 2010? fresh out of law school. I hung out my shingle. At A. Yes. 11 11 that point my revenue was not very high, and I had a Q. Okay. All right. We'll probably talk 12 family to support, so I was -- I was looking for 12 more about that in a little bit. 13 13 work. In that time frame and in responding to Q. My question was: Were you looking to be that -- the CPA's questions, is that the only time 15 15 RaPower 3's general counsel? You testified no, but you referred to yourself as RaPower-3's general 16 then you testified you were looking for work. Those 16 counsel? 17 17 two answers seem kind of inconsistent. A. Yes. 18 18 Could you help me understand? Q. Is it fair to say, and I'm just trying to 19 19 A. I needed a better understanding of the understand your testimony, that at that time Neldon 20 20 work that I would be doing and asked to do before I Johnson was interviewing you and trying to bring you 21 21 was completely on board with such a role, and also on as RaPower-3's general counsel? 22 that it wouldn't interfere with the remainder of my A. He clearly wanted something from me. 23 23 practice, or the other, the rest of my practice. Q. Okay. What was that something? 24 Q. So is it fair to say that you were 24 A. Don't know. Well, I mean, at the time I interested in having Neldon Johnson or RaPower-3 as didn't know. At the time that I had the meeting and

August 4, 2017

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

38 40 he asked me to assist him with this one limited walked in the door about a month later and said I've issue, I didn't know. got some questions from a CPA that I need help with? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me how he approached you to deal with that one specific issue? Q. Okay. In that September/October time Did he walk in the door? Did he call you? frame, were there any other matters involving RaPower-3, solar lenses, tax implications of solar A. He walked in the door. lenses that you advised Neldon Johnson about? Q. Okay. Was that the first time you met A. No. him? Q. If I'm understanding the sequence of A. No. 10 Q. Okay. When had you met him prior to that? events correctly, September I think was the unrelated 11 matter, and then October 2010 there was questions A. In September I had done some work from a CPA? unrelated to RaPower-3. 13 13 Q. Okay. Unrelated to solar lenses? A. Yes. 14 14 A. Correct. Q. About when after that did you give any --15 15 Q. And tax benefits? well, withdrawn. Let me rephrase. A. Correct. After October 2010, did there come a time 17 17 Q. And that work you did for him in when Neldon Johnson approached you about RaPower-3 18 September -- I'm not asking what it was -- that was 18 and solar lenses? 19 the first matter you dealt with him? 19 A. He approached me regarding questions 20 20 A. Yes. regarding tax -- principles of tax law. 21 21 Q. Okay. And it sounds like about a month Q. Okay. And about when was that? 22 22 later he walked in the door and said can you help me A. October. 23 23 Q. Of 2010? with a CPA question? A. Yes. A. Yes. 25 MR. MARTIN: Just for clarity of the Okay. So around the same time you were 39 41 record, Mr. Paul, the discussions and conversations working on the CPA's questions? that Neldon Johnson had with Mr. Anderson as it A. Yes. relates to this issue and the accounting issue and Q. Okay. We'll get back to that in general the auditor issue, are you considering that within the scope of the waiver of the privilege that you So when you referred to yourself as identified earlier? RaPower-3's general counsel in Exhibit 569, is that MR. PAUL: Yes. So long as it relates to the only time you referred to yourself as general RaPower-3 and the solar issue that this case is counsel? A. Yes. about, yes, it's waived. 10 Q. Okay. Did you ever consider yourself to The personal representation of Mr. Johnson 11 11 unrelated has not been waived. be an officer of RaPower-3? 12 MR. MORAN: And. Mr. Anderson, I'll 12 A. No. 13 13 Q. Okay. How about a director? represent to you that you should not consider any question I ask to be asking about any personal issue A. No. 15 that you advised Neldon Johnson on. 15 Q. Okay. Did you ever have a salary from 16 All my questions are directed towards, as 16 RaPower-3? 17 Mr. Paul said, RaPower-3, solar lenses and the tax 17 A. No. 18 implications and any document that has been produced Q. Would you have billed Neldon Johnson or 19 19 to the United States. RaPower-3 for the time that you spent on that matter? 20 20 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you understand? A. Could you ask the question again? 21 21 A. Yes. Q. Sure. Would you have sent a bill or an 22 22 Q. Okay. All right. So sounds like in invoice to Neldon Johnson or RaPower-3, LLC, for the 23 23 September 2010, on completely unrelated issues -time that you spent working on that matter? 24 24 again, I'm not asking about Neldon Johnson as a A. Yes. client -- subsequent to that, it sounds like he Q. Okay. So it was an attorney-client

August 4, 2017

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

42 relationship, not a general counsel relationship? position in this letter, this is the extent of what I knew about International Automated Systems. Q. Sounds like Neldon Johnson wanted you to Q. Sitting here today, what do you know about refer to yourself as general counsel? International Automated Systems? A. I don't know. Nothing. That's the same that I knew Q. Well, in Exhibit 569 you -- I believe then. you -- you refer to yourself as general counsel. Okay. So any information about A. The question asked me what Neldon Johnson International Automated Systems that you put in a wanted. I don't know what he wanted. letter or a memo, you got that information from 10 10 Q. Okay. Well, who -- you say you currently Neldon Johnson? 11 11 serve as general counsel for RaPower-3. I'm looking A. Correct. 12 at the first sentence in the letter in Exhibit 569. Q. Okay. Do you know -- withdrawn. 13 13 Whose idea was that? International Automated Systems is also 14 14 A. Neldon Johnson in the meeting regarding referred to IAS or IAUS? 15 the questions posed from the CPA said something to A. Yes, I'm familiar with the IAS. the extent, I don't remember the exact words, of we'd Q. Okay. Can you just briefly give me an 17 17 overview of what you know about IAS? like to consider having you serve or be RaPower-3's 18 general counsel. I probably just carried that 18 A. Nothing. 19 19 forward, but in word only. Q. Do you know what its business is? 20 Q. Okay. A. No. 21 21 A. I was in no way -- I did not -- I was not Q. Do you know how it's organized? 22 22 privy to the operations of RaPower-3 in such that I 23 23 would be able to advise them on general matters, only Do you know who the CEO is? Q. in the information and request that they had given me 25 25 specifically including, for example, this letter to a Do you know who owns IAS? 43 45 CPA firm. A. No. Q. Do you know if it's a publicly traded Q. All right. So the information that's in Exhibit 569 relating to RaPower-3, where did you get that information from? A. I believe Neldon told me it was. A. Neldon. Q. Okay. Do you know who owns RaPower-3? Q. Neldon Johnson? A. No. A. Neldon Johnson. Q. Do you know how RaPower-3 is organized? Q. Okay. Do you have any familiarity with A. RaPower-3's -- or Neldon Johnson's solar lenses Have you ever owned IAS stock? 10 operations? A. I don't remember. You know, I might have. 11 A. No. 12 Q. No firsthand knowledge? 12 Q. Do you own IAS stock now? 13 13 A. No. 14 Q. Okay. So the knowledge that you received Okay. What makes you think you might Q. you got from who? 15 have? 16 A. Neldon Johnson. 16 A. I remember Neldon telling me that it was a 17 Q. Okay. All right. We got sidetracked 17 publicly traded company. Neldon was quite the 18 there with RaPower-3, but I'm going to give you a salesman, I'm sure he still is, and I might have 19 19 series of entities and names, and I want you to tell looked it up, and I might have -- I had a few hundred 20 20 me whether or not you're familiar with them, how and dollars, not very much, in a little E Trade account 21 when you became familiar. that I played with, you know, and I might have bought 22 International Automated Systems, Inc.? a little. I don't remember. If I did, it was a 23 23 A. To the extent that I had information given nominal amount. to me by Neldon limited in -- very limited in scope 24 But that E trade account. I think it still to answer these questions -- to set forth his exists. I don't know that it's -- I think it's just

August 4, 2017

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

46 48 sitting in a brokerage. I don't think I own any independent investigation. stock currently. It's just sitting there. Q. All right. So the information contained in those documents, who did you get that information Q. All right. I'll accept for now the answer to that question is no, you don't currently own IAS A. Neldon Johnson. stock, but I'm going to ask you to go back and check, and --Q. You testified that Neldon Johnson encouraged you to buy IAS stock? A. I can check. Okay. A. I don't know that he encouraged me. I Q. -- if it turns out your testimony is incorrect, arrange with Mr. Martin and just let me can't say that. 10 10 know --Q. All right. But you said he was quite the 11 11 A. Okay. salesman? 12 Q. -- okay? 13 13 A. To be clear, the answer is no. I only Q. So what do you mean by that? 14 14 clarify if the answer is not no; is that correct? A. He was convincing in the things that he 15 15 Q. Exactly. said A. Okay. Q. What types of things you talking about? 17 17 Q. If the answer is still no, there's no MR. MARTIN: Are you asking him questions 18 18 about communications with Neldon Johnson as it need 19 19 A. Okay. pertains to the energy equipment, or are you talking 20 Q. I asked you a series questions about about --21 21 RaPower-3 and International Automated Systems. For MR. MORAN: Let me reask my question. 22 22 example, how are they organized? Who's the CEO? Q. (By Mr. Moran) When you said that Neldon 23 23 Johnson was quite the salesman, regarding IAS stock? Do you recall those questions? 24 A. I do. A. I did not say that. 25 25 Q. Okay. And when the answer to my question Q. Okay. Can you clarify? 47 49 was no, you didn't know, for example, how IAS was A. I said he was quite the salesman organized -generally. I did not say he was quite the salesman A. And I still don't. about stock or that he --Q. Okay. A. I think you asked if it was publicly A. -- sold his stock. He as an individual and things that he was involved in generally, he -traded, and now, thinking back on it and reflecting on that and those conversations with Neldon that I do I'm trying to think how to word this. Sorry. Just remember even looking up that stock, I would have to give me a minute. Q. I'm not asking for any information that change my answer that I believe, yes, it was a 10 was conveyed in the attorney-client relationship. publicly traded company. 11 11 Q. Okay. I'm referring only to the questions A. I understand that. He did not -- let's 12 you answered no to. 12 just clarify. He did not in any way sell or 13 13 A. Okay. encourage me to purchase stock if I did, indeed, buy Q. Do you think there was a time that you stock. 15 15 knew the answers to those questions? Q. Okay. What about the solar lens 16 A. Did I know the answer? I did not know 16 technology? 17 17 with certainty. Is that what you meant when you said he 18 Q. Okay. was convincing? 19 19 A. I had in my file at some point through my A. He talked about -- yes, he did talk about 20 20 brief representation of documents that asserted the solar lens technology and that it was ground 21 21 entity status of those companies, and so the extent breaking technology, revolutionary, those sort of 22 of my knowledge would be limited to what is contained things. 23 23 in those documents. Q. What else did he tell you about the 24 24 Q. Okay. technology? Any specifics? A. But I did not know firsthand from my own A. It felt specific, but thinking back, it

August 4, 2017

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	14 (1 ages 50 to 55)
50	52
¹ was pretty general.	my knowledge of that name. I do not know him as a
2 Q. Okay. Did he tell you what type of	person. I never I've seen his name, and that's
commercial product he was planning to create?	it.
4 A. No.	Q. So you've never met him?
	A. No.
5 Q. Did he tell you how he intended to make	
money:	Q. Never spoken to fill on the priorie?
A. NO.	A. No.
Q. Okay. What was your understanding, if you	Q. Never exchanged e-mails?
riad arry, or what he was planning to do with this	A. Not that i recall.
Solal technology:	Q. Okay. Do you consider him a client?
A. I don't know. He described the basics of	A. NO.
how it worked, which sounded to my lay engineering	Q. Have you ever heard of a company called
abilities as fascinating, but that's about the extent	13 Black Light?
of it.	14 A. No.
Q. And you just testified that he told you	Q. Have you ever heard of a company called
how it worked.	Black Night?
What specifically about how it worked?	17 A. No.
¹⁸ What did he tell you?	Q. Have you ever heard of a company called
A. Essentially that it was a harnessed the	19 Starlight?
sun to create heat to create steam to create power.	²⁰ A. No.
That's about the most rudimentary understanding I	Q. How about the NPJ Family Partnership?
²² had.	²² A. No.
²³ Q. When you say power, do you mean	Q. How about SOLCO I, LLC?
²⁴ electricity?	²⁴ A. No.
A. Yes, I understood it as electricity, but I	²⁵ Q. What about LTB, LLC?
51	53
¹ can't say that he said electricity. I might have	1 A. No.
² drawn that conclusion myself.	² Q. What about LTB L&M, LLC?
³ Q. Do you know what he was going to do with	³ A. No.
4 that power?	⁴ Q. Any company with LTB in its name?
⁵ A. No.	5 A. No.
⁶ Q. Did he tell you?	⁶ Q. Okay. How about Exsun Energy, LLC?
⁷ A. No.	⁷ A. No.
⁸ Q. What did he tell you about where he was at	⁸ Q. How about Sun Power Associates?
9 in the development process?	⁹ A. No.
10 A. Didn't.	Q. Okay. How about TCL16 BLT?
¹¹ Q. Did he tell you that it worked then?	11 A. No.
A. I don't recall that he said that.	Q. How about Cobblestone?
¹³ Q. Okay. Do you recall if he said it was	13 A. Yes.
going to work soon?	Q. How are you familiar with Cobblestone?
¹⁵ A. I don't.	A. I am currently and have been since 2011
Q. We're back to I'm going to give you an	the Delta City Attorney. As part of my duties as the
entity or an individual, and tell me what you know	Delta City Attorney, I am the prosecutor for the
about it.	Delta City Justice Court prosecuting misdemeanors.
19 LTB1, LLC?	19 It seems that many of my defendants are
20 A. Never heard of it.	either working at or getting they mention
Q. You've never heard of LTB1, LLC?	Cobblestone as their employer. I know that is
22 A. No.	extent of my knowledge regarding that name, though.
Q. Okay. R. Gregory Shepard?	²³ Q. About how many folks are you thinking of
24 A. I recall seeing his name in some documents	that list Cobblestone as an employer?
that Neldon had given me, but that was the extent of	25 A. I don't know.
I and Holdon had given hie, but that was the extent of	A. I doll t fillow.

August 4, 2017

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

54 56 Q. Okay. Do you think there are more than Q. Is this the same -- is this document the ten? same as the document you just looked at to refresh your recollection? A. Probably not. Q. Do you know who owns Cobblestone? A. Yes. A. Okay. MR. MARTIN: Chris, if I could just for Q. Do you know where Cobblestone -- where its business is? the record, this is a document that you're introducing as Exhibit --Okay. Do you know where those individuals MR. MORAN: 570. 10 10 work? MR. MARTIN: -- 570. It is a document 11 11 that bears the signature block of Jessica Anderson. A. No. 12 Q. Do you know what Cobblestone does? For clarity of record, Mr. Paul, this 13 13 document does not bear the signature block of 14 14 Q. All right. Did there come a time that you Mr. Anderson. However, my understanding of the 15 or your firm were approached -- I think you've court's orders and the stipulation that has been made already testified to this, but I'm going to ask it is that the attorney-client privilege as it relates 17 17 to the subject matter of what's been previously again. 18 18 referred to as the Anderson matter has been waived Did there come a time that you or your 19 19 firm were approached to opine on the tax implications and that Mr. Anderson is to provide testimony 20 of solar lenses that RaPower-3 and Neldon Johnson consistent with that waiver, so I think it's 21 21 appropriate that the United States ask him questions were involved with? 22 22 MR. MARTIN: I'll object. It's compound about this document, but I want to understand from 23 23 and lacks foundation, but go ahead. your standpoint whether the document that's been 24 MR. MORAN: I'll rephrase. marked as Exhibit 570 is something that in your 25 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you. client's view is within the scope of the waiver in 55 57 view of whose signature block is on here, and if you Q. (By Mr. Moran) You testified earlier that I think in October 2010, after you'd given Neldon have a different view than I do as to what the scope Johnson assistance responding to the CPA, then he of the waiver and order is, I'd just ask that you let approached you with another matter? us know and that we can address it from there. A. Yes. MR. PAUL: Yeah, I think this does fall Q. What was that matter? within the scope of the waiver, so I don't have any A. To provide summaries regarding tax objections to the witness answering questions related principles. to it subject to proper foundation and proper Q. What did those tax principles involve? personal firsthand knowledge. 10 A. The specifics? I would also note that the date on the 11 11 Q. Yes. letter's February 9th of 2017. 12 12 A. Can I review my notes? MR. MORAN: I'm going to ask him some 13 13 Q. Absolutely. Whatever notes you're questions about that. 14 reviewing, I'm going to ask to see them. MR. PAUL: Okay. A. Okay. Material participation, passive and 15 MR. MARTIN: But to the extent there are 16 active income activity, 179 considerations. 16 communications --17 MR. MARTIN: Why don't you just go ahead 17 MR. PAUL: I have no objection to this 18 18 and identify for him -witness testifying about Exhibit 570. 19 19 THE WITNESS: It's Bates No. 212. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been 20 20 (EXHIBIT 570 WAS MARKED.) given a copy of what's been marked for identification 21 21 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been as Plaintiff's Exhibit 570. 22 given a copy of what's marked for identification as Do you recognize this document? 23 Plaintiff's Exhibit 570. Do you recognize this document? 24 Q. Is this a document that you produced to 25 A. Yes. the United States -- that you or your law firm

August 4, 2017

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

58 60 documents -- is that a fair interpretation of what produced to the United States? MR. MARTIN: Objection. Assumes facts not documents the Subpoena requested? A. Yes. in evidence. Lacks foundation. MR. MORAN: I'll withdraw the question. Q. After you received that document Subpoena, what did you do? Again, I'm not asking you for any Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, do you recall getting a Subpoena for documents from the communications you had with your attorney, but what United States? did you do to react and --A. Yes. A. I reviewed my files and my documents that Q. Okay. Was that about a year ago? I have that would fit the definition of the Subpoena. 10 10 A. I don't recall. Q. Did you find documents that were 11 11 Q. Okay. But you do recall getting a responsive to the Subpoena? 12 Subpoena for documents from the United States in this A. Yes. 13 13 Q. Okay. And what did you do with those 14 14 A. Yes. documents? 15 A. I assembled them and created a new file --Q. Okay. Did you comply with that Subpoena? A. I believe so. 17 Q. Okay. Can you tell me what you did to A. -- of all those documents assembled. 18 comply with the Subpoena? And I'm not asking you for Q. Anything else? 19 any conversations you had between you and your 20 attorney. Q. Did there come a time where you gave those 21 21 I'm asking you specifically what did you documents to someone else? 22 22 do to procure the documents that that Subpoena MR. MARTIN: You can answer. 23 23 requested? THE WITNESS: I --MR. MARTIN: I'll object. It's contrary MR. PAUL: That's a yes or no question. 25 to the court order and it lacks foundation. I'm THE WITNESS: Yes. 59 61 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Who did you give them to? happy to clarify, if you'd like. MR. MORAN: Please do. A. My attorney. MR. PAUL: I'll join in that objection. Q. Okay. Do you know what your attorney did MR. MARTIN: The arrangement that was made with those documents? was that the documents would not be produced by A. No. Mr. Anderson. The documents would be produced by Q. Okay. Do you recognize Exhibit 570 as a Neldon Johnson. document that you gave to your attorney that was I believe Neldon Johnson is the one that responsive to the United States Subpoena? produced to the United States the documents in MR. MARTIN: You can answer. 10 10 question. THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 MR. MORAN: Okay. Did you have anything Q. (By Mr. Moran) So is it fair to say that 12 12 to add? Exhibit 570 is from your files? 13 13 MR. PAUL: No. I agree with that. A. Yes. Q. Okay. How were those files maintained? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. Mr. Anderson, we 15 already established that you got a document Subpoena MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. 16 16 from the United States? Q. (By Mr. Moran) You can answer. 17 17 A. Yes. A. I guess I need more clarification. 18 18 Q. And that document Subpoena requested Q. All right. Were they paper files that 19 19 certain documents related to the subject matter we're were kept in folders or were they electronically 20 20 discussing today that counsel's agreed is waived. stored? 21 21 Do you recall that? MR. PAUL: I'm going to object on 22 22 A. Can you ask that question again? foundation. 23 23 Which documents are you talking about? Q. The Subpoena requested documents related 24 Are you talking about his original file or what was to Neldon Johnson and solar lenses. produced to --Is that a fair understanding of what

August 4, 2017

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

62 MR. MORAN: Fair. Let's ask a more about. MR. PAUL: Page 7 of this, was it produced broader question. Q. (By Mr. Moran) You said you searched your that way? files for documents in response to the Subpoena? MR. MORAN: Yes. What we have is how it A. Yes. was produced. Q. Where did you look? THE WITNESS: What's your question? A. I looked in my digital files. I also Q. (By Mr. Moran) Could you just explain looked in my physical files. whether or not the date, which is reflected as Q. Okay. So is that your standard practice February 9, 2017, is that the date that this letter 10 to keep those files digitally and in folders? 10 was sent or not? 11 11 A. Standard practice is constantly in flux in A. No. 12 my little firm. Q. Okay. What is your understanding of when 13 13 Q. Where did you find Exhibit 570; do you Exhibit 570 -- what's the correct date for 14 14 recall? Exhibit 570? 15 A. My digital file. A. October of 2010. Q. Are those on a hard drive or on a server? Q. Okay. About October 10, 2010? 17 17 A. I'm a small enough firm that all of my A. I can't say with certainty what date. I 18 documents are basically on my personal computer. can limit it to October. 19 19 Q. So is that the same computer that you had Q. Of 2010? 20 in 2010? A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Okay. Mr. Anderson, can you explain why 22 22 Q. Okay. When did you get a new computer? the date February 9, 2017, appears on Exhibit 570? 23 23 A. I don't know. There's been at least two A. This would be a Word -- Microsoft Word 24 replacements since then. format. It was in my files as a Microsoft Word 25 25 Q. Okay. When you get a new computer, do you format. The date field was set to automatically 63 65 back up the hard drive with previous files? update, so any time and every time that the document was to be pulled up, that field is going to have A. I transfer everything to the new computer. Q. So you got the documents Subpoena in 2016. changed to the current date that it was pulled up. You said you looked back through your Q. So I assume you're saying that February 9, 2017, is the date that you accessed this file? digital files? A. On my computer, yes. A. Pursuant to the Subpoena. Q. Okay. And where did you find Exhibit 570? Q. And that's why February 9, 2017, appears A. On my computer. in Exhibit 570? Q. What formula was this in? A. That is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. Were you going to say something A. I don't recall. I'd have to look at the 11 11 Subpoena response. I believe, judging by the date, I else? 12 can almost -- I can say with some certainty that this 12 A. No. 13 13 was a Word file, this particular version that we're Q. But it's your testimony that this document was created and sent sometime in October 2010? looking at. 15 (EXHIBIT 571 WAS MARKED.) 15 A. Sent to who? 16 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been 16 Q. It's addressed to RaPower-3, Neldon 17 17 given a document of what's been marked for Johnson at 4035 South 4000 West. 18 18 identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 571. A. Yes. I believe it was sent to the 19 19 Do you recognize it? addressee of the letter. 20 20 A. Yes. Q. I want to ask you some questions about 21 21 that. I'm not sure you've identified Exhibit 570. Q. What is it? 22 22 A. My Subpoena response. Can you identify it for me? 23 23 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to review A. This appears to be a letter prepared by my 24 24 Exhibit 571 and see if that refreshes your firm in or about October of 2010. recollection about the date issue you just testified Q. And earlier you testified that -- we

August 4, 2017

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

68 66 started this out with the line of questioning was you letter. testified that Neldon Johnson had approached you in MR. MORAN: All right. October 2010 to help him out with some legal issues Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, what's the related to his solar lenses. purpose of your letter in Exhibit 570? A. You're adding solar lenses. I am not. MR. PAUL: I think the objection goes to Q. Okay. Well, what did Neldon Johnson whether it's his letter or Jessica's letter. approach you about? MR. MORAN: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did you have any role in A. He asked me specific questions about tax creating Exhibit 570? principles. 10 10 Q. All right. A. It was substantively prepared by Jessica. 11 11 Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement? A. Not in relation to any specific uses or 12 A. I'm sure that I reviewed it. applied circumstances. 13 13 Q. Thanks for that clarification. Q. Okay. You had reviewed it before it went 14 14 So in Exhibit 570, next to I, II and III, out? 15 15 I see questions. A. Yes. A. Can you direct me to one? One of those Q. And who was approached by Mr. Johnson to 17 17 one more time, please. 18 18 Q. I is on the first page of Exhibit 570, A. I can't -- it was -- it probably was 19 19 which has been Bates stamped Anderson 000212. initially me, and then I probably added Jessica into 20 20 A. Correct. it, and ultimately we were both kind of asked, but 21 21 Q. There's a question: the legal work was done primarily by Jessica. 22 22 "Will the Taxpayer's participation be Not the legal work. The legal research 23 23 deemed 'Material Participation' as defined in was done primarily by Jessica. 24 the Internal Revenue Code?" Q. Okay. So do you have knowledge of what 25 25 questions Mr. Johnson asked of your firm? Do you see that? 67 69 A. Yes. A. Given the time frame, I cannot answer Q. Who asked that question? specifically other than the record or the letter. A. Neldon Johnson. Q. You already testified that Neldon Johnson Q. Okay. Then in II, on the page that's been asked the questions that appear on I, II and III, right? Bates stamped Anderson 000217, there's: A. Yes. Whether those were made in writing, "What are the requirements for depreciation and I.R.C. section 179 deductions whether those were made orally, I don't know specifically. for the energy equipment?" Did I read that correctly? Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to know that 10 Neldon Johnson testified that you offered your wife's A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Who asked that question? services to him and that he didn't ask you for 12 12 A. Neldon Johnson. anything? 13 13 Q. Okay. And III, appearing on the page MR. PAUL: Objection to the form of the that's been Bates stamped Anderson 000219, it says, auestion. 15 "How can I get a letter from the IRS Q. (By Mr. Moran) You can answer. 16 16 stating its position on material participation Ask it one more time. 17 17 and section 179 deductions?" Q. Would it surprise you to know that Neldon 18 Johnson testified that you offered your wife's Did I read that correct? 19 19 services to write a letter about his solar lenses? 20 20 Q. Who asked that question? Yes, that would surprise me. 21 21 A. Neldon Johnson. Q. Okay. Is it your recollection that he 22 22 approached your firm? Q. So am I to understand that Exhibit 570 is 23 23 A. Yes. a letter that you sent to Neldon Johnson attempting 24 Mr. Johnson used the term "tax opinion to answer Mr. Johnson's questions? letter " MR. MARTIN: Object. Misstates the

August 4, 2017

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

70 72 Does that term mean anything to you? A. Not to me, no. Well, your question is THE WITNESS: I ask you to ask the broad. Can you ask it more specifically? question again. Q. Do you understand the difference between a MR. MORAN: Would you read back the tax opinion letter and a memorandum? question? A. No. THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Okay. Was it more than ten?" Q. No? All right. I understand that your THE WITNESS: To that question, the answer wife did the legal research that appears in Exhibit 570, but you said that -- you testified that specifically to the question of whether he came in 10 you would have reviewed it? more than ten times to ask about tax issues, 11 11 A. Yes. possibly. Q. Okay. Is that the extent of the Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you think it was more 13 13 collaboration between you and Mrs. Anderson? than 15? 14 14 A. At the time or generally? A. It's hard to say. 15 15 Q. I'm talking about with regards Q. Okay. 16 A. I guess I want to clarify the question I specifically to Exhibit 570. 17 17 A. I don't recall specifically. didn't answer and make sure it's clear I didn't 18 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- when was the first 18 answer. 19 19 time you were approached about this project? How did You stated solar lenses. Solar lenses was 20 it happen? Did Mr. Johnson come in and -a term really not discussed with me. It was energy 21 21 MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's equipment or something along those lines. It was not 22 22 been asked and answered. solar lenses. 23 23 Q. Okay. And was it tax implications related MR. MORAN: I'll finish the question. 24 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did Mr. Johnson -- how to the energy equipment? 25 25 A. It was tax implications, not necessarily were you approached about this project of answering 71 73 Mr. Johnson's questions? Did he call? Did he come tax implications related to solar equipment with me. into your office? In the conversations with me, it was basic and A. He routinely just walked in. general tax questions. Q. Okay. How many times would he drop in? MR. MORAN: Okay. We've been going for an hour and a half. Why don't we take a break. A. Often. Q. Several times a week? MR. MARTIN: Sure. A. A couple times a week. (Recess from 9:29 a.m. to 9:38 a.m.) Q. A couple times a week? (EXHIBIT 572 WAS MARKED.) Do you recall how many meetings you had MR. MORAN: We're back on the record. 10 with him? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been 11 11 A. No. given a copy of what's been marked as Plaintiff's 12 MR. PAUL: Objection to the form of the 12 Exhibit 572. 13 13 question. Do you recognize this document? 14 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you think it was more than five? 15 Q. Okay. I'll represent to you that this is 16 A. Yes. a document that was produced to the United States by 17 Q. Okav. Was it more than ten? 17 the Defendant's former attorney entitled Defendants' 18 MR. MARTIN: As to any case or --18 Supplemental Privilege Log. 19 19 MR. MORAN: No. I'm talking specifically I'll also represent to you that this 20 20 about the advice you gave relating to Mr. Johnson's document was prepared following several disputes in 21 21 solar lenses. litigation over whether or not -- or various 22 22 MR. PAUL: And I'll object to the form of privilege issues relating to the documents, but my 23 23 the auestion. understanding is that the documents that you already MR. MARTIN: And I'll object to the extent 24 testified about having searched for within your files it assumes facts not in evidence, but go ahead. You have been Bates stamped 1 through 241 with the prefix

August 4, 2017

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

74 76 Anderson Todd and Jessica Anderson. So documents that have been -- the Okay. Was there anyone else working at documents that you produced, where did you find them? your firm at that time? A. The documents --A. No. MR. PAUL: Object to the form of the Okay. And you've already -- withdrawn. question. Was Exhibit 570 prepared in the regularly conducted -- prepared in the course of your regularly MR. MORAN: Let me back up. Q. (By Mr. Moran) All right, Mr. Anderson, conducted activity at your business? we've already established that Exhibit 570 was A. Yes. 10 10 prepared by your firm in October 2010; is that Q. Okay. Was it a regular practice of your 11 11 correct? firm to prepare letters such as Exhibit 570? A. Yes. A. Yes. I'd like to make a distinction. 13 13 Q. I see it says sent via e-mail to It's my belief, while I don't -- my records do not 14 14 neldon@iaus.com, original will follow, and I'm contain a signed copy, it is my belief that this one 15 15 referencing the Bates stamped Anderson 000212. was signed and sent. Did I read that correctly? Q. So is it your firm's normal practice to 17 17 A. What was the question? retain a copy of what was sent, and you testified 18 Q. It says it was sent via e-mail to 18 this was a Word document --19 19 neldon@iaus.com? A. Right. 20 A. It does say that, yes. Q. -- but not the signed document? 21 21 Q. All right. What does that phrase mean to A. At the time we were in flux as far as 22 22 you? building procedures as a firm, and I can't say for 23 23 A. To the best of my knowledge, at the time sure what our procedure was at the time. that this document was produced by my firm, that it Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the 25 was signed and sent to that e-mail address and placed document that was sent to Neldon Johnson wasn't sent? 75 77 in the original mail. A. No. Q. When you looked through your records to Q. Is it your firm's normal practice to send documents via e-mail and through U.S. mail? respond to the United States Subpoena, did you look for the e-mail where this document was sent? A. Occasionally. A. Yes. Q. Is there any reason to believe that Q. You did? Exhibit 570 wasn't e-mailed and sent via U.S. mail to Mr. Johnson? (Witness nodding head affirmatively.) A. No. Q. Did you find it? Q. So it's your testimony that this document, A. No. 10 Q. Okay. Since 2010, have you lost any Exhibit 570, was sent to Mr. Johnson? 11 11 A. To the best of my knowledge. e-mails along the way? 12 12 Q. Was Exhibit 570 transmitted by someone who 13 13 prepared it? Q. All right. Starting on the first 14 paragraph of Exhibit 570, it says, In other words, did they have knowledge of 15 this document that was prepared by your firm? "Last week you had several questions 16 16 MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. regarding tax liability for members of 17 17 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the RaPower3's multilevel marketing organization 18 18 (Member) and you wanted information on how to question. 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Moran) All right. Exhibit 570 get a private letter ruling from the IRS on the 20 you said was a letter that Jessica Anderson prepared, same. This letter is to provide facts on each 21 issue as stated below." correct? 22 22 Did I read that correctly? A. She did the substantial drafting, yes. 23 23 Q. Okay. Would she have transmitted it? 24 Q. You say, This information is relevant A. I don't know. only to individuals acting as sole proprietors Q. Who else would have transmitted it?

August 4, 2017

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	70		21 (1 11862 / 8 18 19)
	78		80
1	in the multi level marketing organization of	1	Q. Did you know at the time?
2	RaPower3.	2	A. To the extent that I was in those
3	Did I read that correctly?	3	meetings, yes.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. Okay. Who were those meetings with?
5	MR. PAUL: I think you added marketing.	5	A. They were either with myself or with
6	MR. MORAN: Thanks for that clarification.	6	Jessica.
7	MR. PAUL: Sure.	7	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Johnson ever have meetings
8	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry I didn't pay	8	with just Jessica?
9	closer attention. Thank you for catching that.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. (By Mr. Moran) I'm going to start with	10	Q. Okay. About how many?
11	the sentence beginning with "This information."	11	A. I don't know.
12	"This information is relevant only	12	Q. Do you have an idea?
13	to individuals acting as sole proprietors in the	13	A. No.
14	multi level organization of RaPower3."	14	Q. Okay. Prior to sending out Exhibit 570,
15	Did I read that correct?	15	did you discuss energy equipment with Mr. Johnson?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. I don't recall exactly when those
17	Q. What's your understanding of RaPower-3's	17	conversations occurred in relation to Exhibit 570, or
18	multilevel marketing organization?	18	the preparation of Exhibit 570.
19	A. I don't know.	19	Q. All right. How about in September to
20	Q. Did you know in October 2010?	20	November of 2010, did you discuss energy equipment
21	A. No.	21	with Mr. Johnson?
22	Q. Okay. Did Jessica Anderson know?	22	A. As I said, I can't say specifically when
23	A. Maybe.	23	those conversations occurred.
24	Q. Do you think you would have had in	24	Q. I'm not asking specifically. I put a
25	2010, do you think you would have known information	25	broad range on it.
	79		81
1	about RaPower-3's multilevel marketing organization?	1	A. I would say the earliest would have been
2	MR. PAUL: Objection to the form of the	2	October that we would have discussed any energy
3	question.	3	equipment.
4	Q. (By Mr. Moran) You can still answer.	4	Q. Why do you think that?
5	A. Could you ask one more time?	5	A. Why do I think that today is there are
6	MR. MORAN: Can you read the question?	6	I mean, there are you're dealing with decreased
7	THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Do you	7	memory because it was so long ago
8	think you would have had in 2010, do you	8	Q. Sure.
9	think you would have known information about	9	A combined with so piecing parts
10	RaPower-3's multilevel marketing organization?"	10	together combined with I believe there are some
11	THE WITNESS: Not really.	11	references to equipment in Exhibit 570.
12	Q. (By Mr. Moran) All right. You testified	12	So to what extent we were told the
13	that Mr. Johnson came into your office several times	13	information at the time of preparing Exhibit 570 and
14	in 2010?	14	what information was pieced together after preparing
15	A. Yes.	15	570, I cannot answer that. I don't know.
16	Q. And that was in preparation of writing the	16	Q. All right. Let me restate the question.
17	letter that's Exhibit 570?	17	The information that appears in
18	A. I wouldn't say that.	18	Exhibit 570, who gave you that information?
19	Q. Okay. Did you have meetings with	19	A. Neldon Johnson.
20	Mr. Johnson prior to your firm drafting Exhibit 570?	20	Q. Okay. Did you ask any questions of
21	A. Yes.	21	Mr. Johnson?
22	Q. Okay. What was discussed then?	22	A. I don't recall specific questions.
23	A. I don't know.	23	Q. Let me narrow it. In preparing
24	Q. You don't recall?	24	Exhibit 570, did you ask Mr. Johnson any questions?
25	A. I don't recall.	25	A. Because of the time, I can't recall if I
	, a . doire roodii.		, a boodes of the time, I can trecal it I

August 4, 2017

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

```
82
      asked questions or not.
                                                                          waiver extends to firm communications, and with
          Q. All right. Do you recall if your wife
                                                                          Jessica Anderson being a member of the firm, I see
      asked any questions?
                                                                          that as being a junior associate working with a
         A. I cannot recall.
                                                                          partner or two partners working on the same matter
             MR. MARTIN: Chris, for the record, again,
                                                                          together.
      I think there's a stipulation that the
                                                                                 Mr. Anderson today is the voice of that
      attorney-client privilege has been waived with
                                                                          representation, and I would only limit, again, that
      respect to the subject matter of the tax benefits and
                                                                          to I don't him to speculate as to what Jessica said,
      the solar energy equipment program.
                                                                          did or knows apart from what he said, did or knows.
10
                                                                   10
             I also understand that the Court has
                                                                                 MR. MARTIN: Okay.
11
                                                                   11
      ordered Mr. Anderson to give deposition testimony
                                                                                 MR. MORAN: Hold on. Can we go off the
12
      regarding the alleged advice that was given.
                                                                          record?
13
                                                                   13
             It's my view that any attorney-client
                                                                                 MR. MARTIN: Sure.
14
                                                                   14
      communications between Jessica Anderson and Neldon
                                                                                 (Discussion held off the record.)
15
                                                                   15
      Johnson as representative of RaPower would
                                                                                 MR. MORAN: Back on, and could you repeat
      necessarily be included within the scope of that
                                                                          the last pending question?
17
                                                                   17
                                                                                 THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Okay.
      waiver and the Court's order, and so I believe that
18
                                                                   18
                                                                                 Do you recall if your wife asked any
      Mr. Anderson is entitled to and is required to answer
19
                                                                   19
      questions with respect to those communications, and
                                                                              questions?"
20
                                                                   20
      so that is my position in reading of the stipulation
                                                                                 MR. PAUL: Did he answer that question
21
                                                                   21
      and the order, but I do want to raise it.
                                                                          already?
22
                                                                   22
                                                                                 THE COURT REPORTER: He did.
             If we're getting into questions with
23
                                                                   23
                                                                                 MR. PAUL: What was the answer?
      respect to what communications may have happened
                                                                   24
      between Jessica Anderson and Mr. Johnson, I state
                                                                                 THE COURT REPORTER: The answer is: "I
25
                                                                   25
      that as our position and our intent in this
                                                                              cannot recall."
                                                          83
                                                                                                                             85
      deposition and raise that to Mr. Paul's attention so
                                                                                 MR. PAUL: Thank you.
      that if he has any different view of the matter, he
                                                                             Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did Mr. Johnson tell you
      can raise it now, and we can deal with it that way.
                                                                          what he was planning to do with the advice that you
             MR. PAUL: As stated earlier, I have no
                                                                          and -- that your firm gave him?
      objection to Mr. Anderson testifying as to his
                                                                             A. Not with any level of specificity.
      knowledge and understanding of the representation and
                                                                             Q. Okay. Did you ask?
      the documentation that's been produced.
                                                                             A. I don't recall.
              The only limitation I would add to that is
                                                                             Q. What generally was your understanding of
      I don't want him speculating as to what Jessica knew
                                                                          what Mr. Johnson intended to do with the advice you
10
      or thought or said or did, but he certainly as
                                                                          were giving?
11
                                                                   11
      Anderson Law -- I think the scope of the waiver
                                                                             A. I don't know.
12
                                                                   12
      extends to the Anderson Law entity, so if it's firm
                                                                             Q. You don't know now or you didn't know
13
                                                                   13
      knowledge and there is a foundation for Mr. Anderson
                                                                         then?
                                                                   14
      to testify to it, I think that's within the scope of
                                                                                 MR. PAUL: Objection. Compound.
15
                                                                   15
      the waiver.
                                                                                 THE WITNESS: I really don't know.
16
             MR. MARTIN: But you don't object to
                                                                   16
                                                                             Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. At the time, did
17
      Mr. Anderson testifying to communications between
                                                                   17
                                                                          you know what Mr. -- do you think you knew what
      Jessica Anderson and Neldon Johnson on the basis of
                                                                          Mr. Johnson was planning to do with the advice?
                                                                   19
19
      confidentiality or attorney-client privilege; is that
                                                                             A. It appeared to me to be a hypothetical
20
                                                                   20
      correct?
                                                                          investigative stage of something, but I don't -- I
21
                                                                   21
             MR. PAUL: That's correct.
                                                                          didn't feel like there was any specifics of whether
22
                                                                   22
             MR. MARTIN: That could be a foundational
                                                                          he was moving forward or in which direction he was
23
                                                                   23
      issue, and you may pose an objection in that regard,
                                                                          going to move forward with the information.
24
                                                                   24
      but not attorney-client privilege; is that correct?
                                                                             Q. Do you know what that something was?
             MR. PAUL: Certainly. I think that the
                                                                             A. No.
```

August 4, 2017

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

86 88 Q. He didn't tell you? testified that you had little to no information, A. Not specifically, no. right? Q. So all he did was ask the three questions A. As to specifics. that appear in Exhibit 570? Q. Okay. So having had little to no MR. PAUL: Objection. Misstates his prior information, could you give a -- or did you have testimony. You can answer. enough facts to give any type of specific legal Q. (By Mr. Moran) I'm trying to understand analysis? A. I provided a general analysis of tax what you were engaged by Mr. Johnson to do when you and your firm wrote Exhibit 570? principles based on information that I had. It was 10 10 A. To answer the questions posed. not applied to any specific circumstances. 11 Q. Okay. And what information did 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. 12 12 Mr. Johnson give you to answer these questions, or A. And when I say "I," I refer to Anderson 13 13 did he just have these questions? Law Center as a firm and the attorneys of that firm 14 14 A. I don't recall. that Anderson Law Center did those things. 15 15 Q. Did he give you any information on Q. You said that Mr. Johnson gave you little RaPower-3's multilevel marketing organization? to no information. 17 17 MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's How was that information, what information 18 18 been asked and answered. you did get, conveyed to you? 19 19 THE WITNESS: No, he did not. A. Orally. 20 20 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you recall specifically Q. And all that information was conveyed by 21 21 what that little to none information was? Neldon Johnson? 22 22 A. No. A. Correct. 23 23 Q. Did you feel you had enough information Q. None of it was from Glenda Johnson? 24 for your firm to author Exhibit 570? A. She was there at some of the meetings as a 25 25 MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. companion, but she did not convey information --87 89 MR. MARTIN: I'll object as vague. Q. Okay. THE WITNESS: To the extent the general A. -- is my recollection. principles of tax law or questions are addressed in Q. Have you ever been to any of Neldon Exhibit 570, I felt like we had enough information to Johnson's facilities? answer those general workings of these particular tax A. No. Q. And when I talk about facilities, I'm Q. (By Mr. Moran) So you just characterized referencing where he tests and builds his energy Exhibit 570 as a -- I'm going to use your words, equipment? general workings of tax principles? A. No. A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been to his house? 11 11 Q. Was it specific to any facts? A. I've been to his driveway. 12 12 Q. Why were you in his driveway? 13 13 Q. Could it have been specific to any facts? A. I dropped off a Christmas present that MR. MARTIN: Objection. Speculation, first or second year of my practice. I delivered a 15 15 lacks foundation. Christmas gift. It was Lindon chocolates, the balls, 16 THE WITNESS: And I agree. I guess I 16 to all of my clients, including Neldon Johnson. 17 17 would need a more specific question. Q. All right. So was that something you did 18 18 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Based on the information to show appreciation to your customers? 19 19 that Mr. Johnson gave you, could you have given a A. Correct. It was not specific to 20 20 specific opinion on tax? Mr. Johnson. It was done to -- we loaded up the car, 21 21 MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation and and I drove to every client in the area and delivered 22 22 speculation. chocolates, including Mr. Johnson. 23 23 THE WITNESS: I agree. I don't understand Q. Okay. When you dropped off the 24 24 your question. chocolates, was it Mr. Johnson you gave them to? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Well, you already A. My wife -- I was driving. She dropped it

August 4, 2017

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

90 92 MR. MARTIN: Objection. To the extent it off, so I don't know. Q. Is that the only time you've been to calls for an attorney-client communication, don't answer that Mr. Johnson's residence? A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Moran) We're going to look at Q. Is that residence in Delta? some documents later, and if these are documents that A. No. Neldon Johnson gave you, if I don't ask you, would Q. Where is it? you identify them as such? A. Deseret. A. I'll reserve answering that question till Q. About how far away from Delta is that? later. 10 Q. That's fine. You already testified you A. Ten minutes. 11 don't know anything about who was a member of Q. Would it surprise you -- withdrawn. 12 12 RaPower-3? Do you know if your wife has ever been to 13 13 Neldon Johnson's facilities? A. I do not know. 14 14 A. I cannot say for sure, but I would say Q. Do you know what someone would need to do 15 15 with a great amount of certainty that she has not. to become a member of RaPower-3? Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to know that A. I do not. 17 17 Neldon Johnson testified that Jessica Anderson has Q. Do you know if a RaPower-3 member has any 18 seen his energy equipment? type of managerial authority at RaPower-3? 19 19 A. Very much so. A. I do not know. 20 20 Q. All right. Going back to Exhibit 570, Q. Did you know in 2010? 21 21 your firm references tax liability for members of A. I did not. 22 22 RaPower-3's multilevel marketing organization. Q. Do you know if your wife knew? 23 23 Who is a member of RaPower-3's multilevel A. I don't. marketing organization? Q. In the first paragraph of Exhibit 570, you 25 A. I don't know. reference sole proprietor. 91 93 Q. Did you know in 2010? Do you see that? A. No, I did not. Yes. Q. Do you know if your wife knew? Q. What caused you to use the term "sole A. I don't know. proprietor"? Q. Do you recall Mr. Johnson giving you any MR. MARTIN: Objection. Assumes facts not documents? in evidence. A. Yes. MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. Q. And you produced those documents to the Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you know why the letter in Exhibit 570 says sole proprietor? United States? 10 Have you produced those documents to the A. I do not. 11 11 United States? Q. Did you write sole proprietor? 12 12 A. I did not. I did not produce any A. I don't know. 13 13 documents to the United States. Q. Okay. If it wasn't you, would it have 14 Q. Okay. been your wife? 15 15 A. Sorry. I did not -- I produced --A. Yes. 16 pursuant to the Subpoena, I produced those documents 16 Q. Have you ever heard Neldon Johnson use the 17 which were indicated as being produced and included a 17 term "sole proprietor"? 18 18 Privilege Log for those documents that were not A. I don't recall. 19 19 produced, and all of the documents which Neldon Q. If your letter, Exhibit 570, is giving 20 Johnson provided to me were not produced by me to the 20 information relevant only to individuals acting as 21 21 United States. sole proprietors, do you know why that is? 22 22 Q. Okay. Do you know if they were produced A. I don't. 23 23 Q. If Neldon Johnson had asked you for 24 24 A. I do not have firsthand knowledge of that. information related to sole proprietors, could that Q. Has anyone told you? be why?

August 4, 2017

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

94 96 of Exhibit 570, which has been Bates stamped A. It could --MR. MARTIN: Objection. Speculation. Anderson 000214. The last paragraph beginning with: "In order for the lease of equipment from Don't volunteer. THE WITNESS: Could be. the taxpayer to IAS for marketing purposes to be considered active loss or income, the Q. (By Mr. Moran) If Neldon Johnson had asked you for information, tax information that's transaction would have to fit into one of the exceptions listed above." relevant to sole proprietors, is that what you would have given him? Did I read that correctly? MR. PAUL: Objection. Speculation. A. I believe so. 10 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know. The question Q. Okay. What does that phrase "lease of 11 11 equipment from the taxpayer to IAS" mean to you? lacks a lot of facts. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Well, I'm reading from A. I don't know. 13 13 your letter, so I want to know why you reference sole Q. Okay. Where would that phrase have come 14 14 proprietors? from? 15 A. I don't know. A. Neldon. Q. Okay. In 2010, did you or your wife have Q. Would your wife know? 17 17 any familiarity with RaPower-3's businesses? A. I don't know. 18 Q. The next sentence says, "Different rules 18 A. No. 19 19 apply to corporations and other entities." Q. Do you know if RaPower-3 members have any 20 20 Did I read that correctly? managerial capacity at RaPower-3? 21 21 A. You did. A. I don't know. 22 22 Q. Okay. Why did you write that sentence? Q. Okay. Did you ask? 23 23 A. I don't know. A. I don't know. MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. Q. Did Neldon Johnson tell you? 25 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did you write that A. I don't know. 95 97 Q. Do you think you would have known in 2010? sentence? A. I don't know. A. I don't know. Q. If you didn't write that sentence, did Q. Did Neldon Johnson tell you anything about your wife answer it? RaPower-3 members? A. Yes. A. Not that I recall. Q. Let me rephrase that. Q. Okay. Do you know if he told your wife If you didn't write that sentence, did anything about RaPower-3 members? your wife write that sentence? A. I don't know. A. Yes. Q. If Neldon Johnson had given you 10 Q. Roman numeral I references material information about RaPower-3 members, do you think it 11 11 participation. would have been reflected in Exhibit 570? 12 A. Yes. 12 MR. MARTIN: Speculation. 13 13 Q. Do you know what that term means as THE WITNESS: Would have depended on a lot 14 defined in the Internal Revenue Code? of factors, and so I don't -- I can't -- I don't A. My current knowledge of material 15 16 participation is essentially what is set forth in 16 Q. (By Mr. Moran) All right. When you write 17 17 Exhibit 570. down legal advice in a letter or a memorandum, would 18 18 Q. Who asked for legal advice on material it be your habit to state the facts that you're 19 19 participation? 20 20 A. The only person who asked for advice MR. PAUL: Objection. Speculation. 21 regarding RaPower-3, or asked -- let me rephrase 21 THE WITNESS: Ask the question one more 22 time, please. 23 23 The only person who asked any questions Q. (By Mr. Moran) When you give legal advice regarding RaPower-3 was Neldon Johnson. 24 in either a memorandum or in a letter to a client, is 25 Q. I direct your attention to the third page it your habit to state the facts that you're relying

August 4, 2017

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

98 100 those questions. on that you've been told in the letter? MR. PAUL: Also lacks foundation. Q. Okay. A. There is no facts. As to why there are no THE WITNESS: Your question -- it depends on the circumstances as to what I'm going to reply facts, I don't know. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that the within a memorandum. If a client was to ask me about the workings of a particular statute without any questions asked in Exhibit 570 don't contain any facts, I would apply -- I would provide an specific facts? explanation as best I'm able of the statute, but if A. The memorandum as a whole does not contain there's no facts to apply it to, I would not apply it facts. 10 10 Q. Thank you. When you say "the memorandum," to any facts. 11 11 you were referring to Exhibit 570, which is the Q. (By Mr. Moran) If a client did give you 12 12 letter? facts and you were applying that statute to those 13 13 facts, would you state the facts in a letter or the A. That is correct. 14 14 memorandum? Q. Are you aware of what RaPower-3 members do 15 15 A. It would depend on the question. to become members of RaPower-3? Q. Okay. If those facts were relevant to the A. No. 17 17 question, would you include the facts? Q. Okay. Do you know if as part of the 18 MR. MARTIN: I'll object to the incomplete 18 RaPower -- do you know if, as a RaPower-3 member, 19 19 hypothetical. Go ahead. they own anything? 20 MR. PAUL: Also lacks foundation, calls A. I do not know. 21 21 Q. Okay. Did you know in 2010? for speculation. 22 22 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to remember your A. I did not. 23 23 Q. Okay. Directing your attention to the last question again. Sorry. 24 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you ever give written page that's Bates stamped Anderson 000219 and the 25 legal advice to clients? paragraph ending D, Property Placed In Service, 99 101 A. Yes. "You place property in service when it is Q. When you give written legal advice to ready and available for a specific use." clients and you're asked to apply a statute to Did I read that correctly? specific facts, do you include those facts --A. Yes. Q. Did you know what property RaPower-3 Q. -- in your written analysis? members would be using? A. No. Q. Okay. So in Exhibit 570, if Mr. Johnson Q. Did you know any purpose that their had given you specific facts to apply these various property would be used for? statutes to, would you have stated those facts in 11 11 Exhibit 570? Q. Did you know in 2010? 12 MR. PAUL: Objection. Calls for 12 A. I recall there was discussion about using 13 13 speculation and lacks foundation. equipment for advertising purposes. 14 MR. MARTIN: I'll join. Q. Where did you get that information from? 15 15 THE WITNESS: I don't recall the specific A. Neldon. But the other uses, the energy 16 questions, so therefore I don't know what I would 16 uses, I was not sure, did not know. 17 have answered -- how I would have -- I can't answer 17 Q. Did you ask? 18 your question. A. I don't know. I do not recall. 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Well, you said you can't Q. You already testified you didn't know what 20 20 recall the specific questions. There's three property the members owned, right? 21 21 questions here in Exhibit 570, and you said that's A. Right. 22 22 what you're responding to in Exhibit 570. Q. Okay. But this unidentified property it 23 23 A. And we answered those three, and I believe was your understanding would be used for advertising 24 24 that the memorandum adequately answers those three purposes? questions by providing a summary of applicable law to A. I can't say specifically. I know there

August 4, 2017

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

102 104 had a tax background? was talk of energy production and advertising were the two kind of uses regarding this product that A. When I was asking -- when I was drafting people would be buying, but that was the extent of Exhibit 570, no. the knowledge. Q. How about before then? Q. Okay. And you got that knowledge from A. It was something along the lines of do you Neldon Johnson? do any tax work. A. Yes. Q. What did you tell him? Q. Were you aware of whether that I don't recall. unidentified property ever was used in an advertising Q. At that point had you done any tax work? 10 10 purpose? A. No. 11 11 A. I am not aware. Q. If at that time you hadn't done any tax work, would you have told him yes? Q. How about in energy production? 13 13 A. I am not aware. MR. PAUL: Objection. Calls for 14 14 Q. Okay. Did you ask? speculation, lacks foundation. 15 A. I don't recall. MR. MARTIN: I'll join. Q. Do you know if your wife asked? THE WITNESS: I don't know. 17 A. I don't recall. Sorry. I don't know. 17 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Would you lie to 18 Q. Okay. 18 Mr. Johnson? 19 19 A. Not that I don't recall. I don't know. A. No. Q. Okay. When your firm was drafting Q. Mr. Johnson was a client, right? 21 21 Exhibit 570, do you ever recall Neldon Johnson asking 22 22 about your tax background? Q. Would you lie to a client? 23 23 A. No. A. No. Q. Do you ever recall him asking about your Q. Okay. But you do recall Mr. Johnson 25 25 asking you if you had a tax background? wife's tax background? 103 105 A. Let me -- what was your first question? I MR. PAUL: Objection. Misstates his prior might have -- what was the question again? testimony. Q. (By Mr. Moran) What was your prior Q. The most recent question I think was --A. I think I misunderstood it. testimony? A. He asked if I did any tax work. Q. Can you read back the last question? Q. Okay. Do you know if the question was had A. Well, actually, the last two questions. THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: When you done any tax work at that point or would you be your firm was drafting Exhibit 570, do you ever willing to do tax work? recall Neldon Johnson asking about your tax A. I don't recall the specific question. 10 Q. Did he ask about your background in tax? background?" 11 11 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase that A. No. 12 12 question? I guess I don't understand. Q. All right. It's your testimony that he 13 13 MR. MORAN: Sure. asked if you did tax work? 14 MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's Q. (By Mr. Moran) In the context of 15 Exhibit 570 when that was being drafted, and you been asked and answered. You can still answer it 16 16 testified that Neldon Johnson came into your office again, if you want. 17 17 and posed three questions to you, which you answered THE WITNESS: No. I'll just refer back to 18 in Exhibit 570 -my previous answer. 19 19 A. Uh-huh (yes). Q. (By Mr. Moran) I'd ask you to repeat it. 20 20 Q. -- is that correct? A. It was along the lines of do you do any 21 21 A. Uh-huh (yes). tax work. 22 22 Q. Okay. MR. MARTIN: Is that a yes? 23 23 A. The specifics of the question I do not THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. Yes. 24 recall. Q. (By Mr. Moran) When you were drafting Q. And at that point you hadn't done any tax Exhibit 570, did Neldon Johnson ever ask you if you

August 4, 2017

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

	106	108
1	work?	1 A. No.
2	A. No.	² MR. PAUL: Objection. Misstates
3	Q. This was the first time you were engaged	³ testimony.
4	to do any tax work?	Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you know what he wanted
5	A. Yes. Do you recall sorry. You ask the	5 to do with it?
6	questions.	⁶ A. I don't.
7	Q. Were you going to elaborate on an answer?	⁷ Q. Did your wife tell you anything else about
8	A. No, I wasn't.	8 what he said to her?
9	Q. Did Mr. Johnson ask about your wife's tax	⁹ A. Not that I recall.
10	background?	Q. All right. He came in a couple days later
11	A. I don't recall.	and talked to your wife, and he expressed some
12	Q. Do you recall if you told him that she had	dissatisfaction with Exhibit 570?
13	taken tax classes in law school?	¹³ A. Yes.
14	A. I probably did.	Q. Did anything else happen with respect to
15	Q. Okay. After you sent Exhibit 570 to	15 Exhibit 570?
16	Neldon Johnson, was there anything else that occurred	A. She I recall her telling me he slammed
17	with relation to Exhibit 570?	it down and said, "What am I supposed to do with
18	MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. You	this?" That's the extent of what I remember.
19	can answer.	Q. Okay. What was your wife's reaction to
20	THE WITNESS: I don't have firsthand	²⁰ that?
21	knowledge, but I do recall that he came in to speak	A. I don't recall, and I don't recall if I
22	with Jessica about it.	was I think I was not there when he came in. I'm
23	Q. (By Mr. Moran) About Exhibit 570?	relaying what she relayed to me.
24	A. Yes.	Q. Okay. So it sounds like she was in the
25	Q. Okay. Do you recall about how long it was	office alone?
	107	109
1	after you sent Exhibit 570?	¹ A. I don't know.
2	A. No.	² Q. But you weren't there?
3	Q. Was it days? Weeks? Years?	³ A. I was not I was not within eyesight.
4	A. Days.	Q. Did she relate to you after the fact that
5	Q. And were you part of that conversation?	Mr. Johnson wasn't satisfied with Exhibit 570?
6	A. No.	⁶ A. Yes.
7	Q. Did your wife tell you what he came in to	⁷ Q. Okay. Do you recall about how many hours
8	talk about?	8 were spent in your firm preparing Exhibit 570?
9	A. Yes.	⁹ A. I don't.
10	Q. What did she tell you?	Q. Do you think it was more than ten?
11	A. He was frustrated with it.	A. I would be speculating.
12	Q. Why was he frustrated with it?	Q. Do you think it was more than five?
13	A. I don't know the specifics.	A. I would be speculating.
14	Q. Was it that it was too general?	Q. Was it more than two?
15	MR. PAUL: Objection. Asked and answered.	A. I would be speculating.
16	THE WITNESS: She used the words to me	Q. Do you know if your firm sent Mr. Johnson
17	that I recall of him saying "What am I supposed to do	a bill for these services in preparing Exhibit 570?
18	with this?"	A. He was sent a bill. Whether it was for
19	Q. (By Mr. Moran) That's the general tenor	preparing 570, I don't know.
20	of his, is it fair to say, complaint to your wife?	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Johnson pay bills that you
21	A. Yes.	sent him?
22	Q. Do you remember anything more	22 A. Yes.
23	specifically?	Q. In your reaction to Mr. Johnson's
24	A. No.	dissatisfaction to Exhibit 570, did your firm do
25	Q. Do you know why he couldn't use it?	²⁵ anything else?

August 4, 2017

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

110 112 A. I don't recall. I don't recall what Q. Okay. I'll ask you to turn to the last page of Exhibit 23, which has been Bates stamped happened next. It wasn't the end of our US001658. representation, but I don't recall what happened Do you recognize that -- it purports to be next. Okay. Did you prepare any additional an e-mail from you to neldon@iaus.com and documents for Mr. Johnson? glendaejohnson@hotmail.com? A. Yes. Well, let me take that back. We A. Yes. Q. Is it your testimony that this is a copy prepared additional documents. I would not say that they were for Mr. Johnson. They were in furtherance 10 10 of our representation of RaPower. A. Yes. 11 11 Q. So Exhibit 570 was not the last document Q. -- that you sent to Mr. Johnson? 12 that you prepared as part of your representation of 13 13 Q. And Glenda Johnson? 14 14 MR. MARTIN: Objection --A. Yes. 15 15 MR. PAUL: Objection. Misstates prior Q. Were the preceding pages of Exhibit 23 16 testimony. attached to that e-mail? 17 17 MR. MARTIN: Yes. 18 18 Q. (By Mr. Moran) All right. Exhibit 570 You sent that e-mail on --19 19 was not the last document your firm prepared in its A. Well, I want to back up. Substantially representation of RaPower-3 and Mr. Johnson? similar to the document that was sent. It was a Word 21 21 MR. MARTIN: Objection. Assumes facts not file, and you will note that it references the 22 22 attachments on Bates 1658. It references in evidence. You can go ahead. 23 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that was not my Operation -- no. Taxpayer Info.docx, which is an 24 answer. extension for a Microsoft Word file. 25 25 Q. (By Mr. Moran) What was your answer? Similarly, that file would have been 111 113 A. It was not the last document that we modified every time that it was opened and could have potentially been modified after, so I believe that prepared in our representation of RaPower. Q. Okay. So there were additional documents? Exhibit 23 is substantially similar to the document that was attached, but I cannot say that it is exact A. Yes. because it would have been modified every time it was Q. About how many? A. I believe one. Q. Okay. And you already testified about Q. Okay. using an auto fill date feature. A. That I can recall. Q. Mr. Anderson, you've been given a copy of A. Correct. what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 23. This Q. Do you recall that testimony? 11 11 document was marked before this deposition and has 12 been used in prior depositions. 12 Q. So when you say it was substantially 13 13 Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 23? similar but may have been modified, is that what you're referring to, the date? A. I do. 15 15 Q. What is it? A. At a minimum, the date would have been 16 A. It is a draft letter. 16 modified. 17 17 Q. Just a minute ago you testified that there Q. Okay. I will also represent that the 18 was one additional document that your firm prepared United States Bates stamped this document with US 19 19 for its representation of RaPower-3; is that correct? Bates numbers and an exhibit number. 20 20 A. Yes. Obviously, those weren't --21 21 Q. Is this that document? A. Those were not there, yes. 22 A. To the best of my knowledge, I believe so. Q. Okay. Do you want to take a minute and 23 23 Q. You reviewed Exhibit 23 and compared it? look at Exhibit 23 and see if anything jumps out at 24 24 A. Yes. I believe this in timeline followed you right now? after Exhibit 570. We're going to go through it in more

August 4, 2017

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

114 116 detail, but anything jump out at you as not being what you prepared? And that would be either you or your wife? A. No. MR. MARTIN: Go ahead and take a look at Q. And then space for your signatures on the the exhibit. document? THE WITNESS: Well, yes, the one thing A. Yes, physically sign, or at that time that I kind of noticed that did jump out at me is the you'll notice -- anyways, at that time we were fact that the signature line, it would have been starting the practice of electronically signing with contrary to my practice to move that. Adobe and applying -- but it still contains a 10 10 So the Anderson Law Center, PC, that would depiction of a physical signature. 11 11 have been very contrary to my practice to have the Q. I understand. What was the purpose of the 12 typed version be a signature of any form. It always document that you testified to drafting in 13 13 would have had adequate space, and every document Exhibit 23? 14 14 that I produce would have had additional space there A. I don't recall. Well, I'll clarify a 15 15 for me to apply a signature at a later time. little bit. I think -- I think the purpose of that I also think that -- I can't recall, but I document, or what he was kind of looking for was he 17 17 think there's a possibility that the copy that was 18 18 Q. When you say "he," can you be specific? e-mailed might have had a name on it, and it wasn't 19 19 on this copy. A. Neldon Johnson was looking for something 20 20 MR. PAUL: You mean an addressee? that could -- he was looking for more summarized, 21 21 MR. MORAN: Hold on. easier to understand summaries of tax principles that 22 22 THE WITNESS: No. I mean -- oh. Oh, he could discuss with potential customers or clients, 23 23 and my interpretation when we prepared this is that yeah. 24 MR. MORAN: It's my chance to ask we were kind of, yes, it's in letter format, but I 25 25 really didn't know what the end result was ever going questions. 115 117 MR. PAUL: Sorry. Couldn't help myself. to look like. THE WITNESS: So there's a possibility Q. So is Exhibit 23 the end result? that it might have been modified, that it might have A. No. had a name, either mine or Jessica's, in the Q. Is it fair to call Exhibit 23 a draft? signature block. There certainly probably would have A. At best it's a draft. been more space there, and there might have been an Q. Exhibit 570 was sent sometime in October addressee, but I don't recall on the addressee 2010? specifically, and I don't recall specifically on the Α. name. Q. And Exhibit 23 was e-mailed November 15, 10 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. All right. You 2010? 11 11 testified about the signature block, and that would A. Yes. 12 have been on the page that's Bates stamped US001657? 12 Q. So that's somewhere between two weeks and 13 13 six weeks? 14 Q. I just want to make sure I understand A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Do you recall what happened in that that. 16 It would not have been your practice to 16 intervening six weeks? 17 sign a document Anderson Law Center, PC? 17 A. I need a more specific question. 18 18 A. No, but let's also clarify my testimony. Q. Okay. What happened -- I'm asking you in 19 19 relation to Mr. Johnson and your representation --20 20 A. It would have also not been my practice to not your representation, but the legal services you 21 21 were providing Mr. Johnson related to RaPower-3 and not leave more space than is depicted on this 22 22 document for room for a signature. energy equipment? 23 23 Q. Okay. So a document that left your office MR. MARTIN: Objection. Assumes facts not 24 24 would be set up for a signature block with an in evidence. You can go ahead. attorney's name? THE WITNESS: And I really apologize that

August 4, 2017

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

118 120 I focus so hard on the objection that I forget the those conversations? A. I'm sure she did, but I don't recall. question. MR. MORAN: Okay. Read back the question. Q. Okay. Do you --THE WITNESS: I lose the question. A. I don't recall any specifics. She THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Okay. certainly told me about those conversations, but the What happened -- I'm asking you in specifics of the conversations I do not recall. relation to Mr. Johnson and your Q. Okay. Those were all in-person meetings? A. Yes. representation -- not your representation, but the legal services you were providing Q. Okav. 10 10 Mr. Johnson related to RaPower-3 and energy A. There may have been some telephone calls, 11 equipment?" but they were the exception, not the rule. THE WITNESS: I believe the extent of the Q. Do you know if Neldon Johnson gave Jessica 13 13 representation between those two letters would be any additional facts about transactions during that 14 meetings discussing these tax questions on the first 14 time frame? 15 letter that are in Exhibit 570. A. Define transactions. 16 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. You testified Q. Transactions that RaPower-3 members would 17 17 earlier about a meeting between -- you know from your 18 18 A. I can say that Jessica was definitely wife about a meeting between your wife and 19 19 Mr. Johnson where he slammed down Exhibit 570 and given more details about the contemplated business 20 said, "What am I supposed to do with this?" structure than I was given. To what extent, I don't 21 21 A. There were more than that meeting. 22 22 Q. Okay. Can you describe for me that Okay. Mr. Anderson, you testified that 23 23 process? Exhibit 23 was a Word document that was sent around 24 A. He would come in regularly, fairly November -- it was sent on November 15, 2010? 25 25 regularly, never call to make an appointment. It was A. Yes. 119 121 informal walk-ins. Q. And while it's dated August 8, 2012, you already testified that date is incorrect? Q. Okay. About how many times? A. My testimony would be the same as it was A. It is incorrect. last time. Between the two, I really don't know. Q. Okay. And that was because the Word document would have had an auto fill feature? Q. You testified it was more than five, A. Correct, and it was a draft. There was though? A. I would say that the bulk -- in relation never a date it was finalized. to my representation of RaPower-3, it definitely fell MR. MORAN: Take a little break? between those two front time frames, and then there MR MARTIN: Sure 10 (Recess from 10:46 a.m. to 10:55 a.m.) was some following the November 15, 2010. 11 Q. Okay. And you said those two time frames. MR. MORAN: Back on. 12 12 Can you be specific about that? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, before the 13 13 A. The time frame that we provided break, we were talking about Plaintiff's Exhibit 23. 14 Before we go to any questions, during the Exhibit 570, which we don't have an exact date on, 15 break, did you talk to anyone about the substance of and November 15, 2010. 16 16 Q. Okay. During that time frame, what this case? 17 17 information did Mr. Johnson convey to you? A. No. 18 18 Q. Getting back to Exhibit 23, you said that A. I don't recall. 19 19 Q. Okay. Were you part of any of those the letter appearing in Exhibit 23 is substantially 20 20 conversations? similar to a document you prepared; is that correct? 21 21 A. Minimally. A. Yes. Actually, I want to clarify one 22 22 thing. The Bates stamp, US001658, I -- I did not --Q. Okay. Who was part of those 23 23 this was not part of my file. I don't know where it conversations? 24 originated from. I don't know where it came from. A. Jessica. I can say that that's my e-mail address, I Q. Okay. Did Jessica tell you anything about

August 4, 2017

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

122 124 can say that I recall sending an e-mail on or about principles that could be -- may be usable in the that date, and I can say that I recall attaching business venture that he was either doing or those documents, but the actual document, I don't contemplating or putting together, and I believe that know that this is mine or where it came from. was the purpose of that letter was to start basically the dialogue between myself and a client regarding Q. Okav. A. And I certainly don't recognize the getting to that final product. Q. All right. Was the document reflected in Post-it Note in any way. Q. Understood. And you looked back to see if Exhibit 23 a final product? you could find the e-mail you sent in November 2010, A. No. 10 Q. What was it? riaht? A. I did. A. A draft. 12 Q. And did you find it? Q. Why did you send Exhibit 23 to 13 13 A. No. 14 14 A. To provide him a draft and get some Q. Okay. And since 2010, have you destroyed 15 15 feedback and continue the dialogue of what the some e-mails? 16 product was that he was looking for. A. I have not destroyed. 17 17 Q. Is it your business practice to retain all Q. What happened after you sent Exhibit 23? 18 18 A. There was additional meetings between him e-mails, or do you have a retention period? 19 19 and Jessica. A. We had some change in servers and 20 Q. Were there any additional documents computers, and through that process some e-mails were 21 21 prepared? 22 22 A. Not that I recall. Q. Okay. Do you believe that the e-mail you 23 23 Q. Okay. Do you recall what information was sent -- you believe the e-mail that you sent in 24 24 exchanged in those subsequent meetings? November 15, 2010, is an e-mail that was lost? 25 25 A. I was definitely less involved at that A. Correct. 123 125 Q. Okay. And the e-mail on page US001658, point, so no. does that appear to be your signature block? Q. Okay. Did your wife ever tell you what A. So at the time -- it is my e-mail information was exchanged during those subsequent signature block. It's basically a -- about that same meetings? time I had designed some cards, and I basically took A. I'm sure she did. I don't recall what a JPEG image of those cards and used that as my they were. signature block for e-mails, and that would have been Q. Okay. You testified that earlier, based my practice at that time. on Exhibit 570, Mr. Johnson was not satisfied with Q. Is there any reason to believe that the the product. 10 e-mail that appears on US001658 is not a copy of the A. Correct. 11 11 e-mail you sent? Q. Were those subsequent meetings intended to 12 A. Not at all. 12 ease Mr. Johnson's concerns? 13 13 A. I would --Q. Okay. The letter -- the document that you MR. MARTIN: I'll object. It lacks prepared that's reflected in all but the last page of 15 Exhibit 23 --15 foundation, but you can go ahead. 16 A. Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: Can you read the question 17 Q. -- what was the purpose of that document? 17 one more time? 18 18 MR. PAUL: Objection. It's been asked and THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Were 19 19 those subsequent meetings intended to 20 Q. (By Mr. Moran) I'm going to ask you to 20 ease Mr. Johnson's concerns?" 21 21 answer again. THE WITNESS: No, that's not how I would 22 22 A. I don't remember the specifics. The best define them. 23 23 that I recall is that Neldon was -- on behalf of Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. What was the 24 24 RaPower was seeking summarized, easier to access and purpose of the subsequent meetings? provide information regarding particular tax A. Mr. Johnson was wanting more -- he wanted

August 4, 2017

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

126 128 different information than was set out in this A. Correct. letter. Q. And then you also testified that, Q What was the different information he subsequent to Exhibit 23 being sent, there were more wanted? meetings? A. I don't know specifically, more specific. A. Correct. I mean, he -- I don't know specifically, other than Q. Okay. And then you testified that he wanted more specifics regarding particular Mr. Johnson conveyed more specific -- some more circumstances and facts he wanted those laws applied specific circumstances? A. I believe so. 10 Q. Okay. So do you know generally what he Q. When was that? Was that between 11 Exhibit 570 and 23 or after Exhibit 23? was asking for? 12 A. I think I just said that. A. I don't know. 13 13 Q. More specific --Q. Okay. The specific circumstances you're 14 14 A. He wanted -- he wanted more specifics that talking about that were conveyed to Mr. Johnson -- or 15 15 these tax principles could be applied to by Mr. Johnson to, I think, your wife --16 specifically, what a specific set of circumstances A. Uh-huh (yes). 17 17 that he was trying to implement, I think. Q. -- is there any document that reflects 18 Q. Okay. So did he provide those more 18 those specific circumstances and information? 19 19 specific circumstances? A. Him conveying those specific circumstances A. I believe so. as to what he wanted to us? 21 21 Q. Okay. Who did he provide them to? Q. Yes. 22 22 A. No. A. Jessica. 23 23 Q. Okay. Were you part of any of those Was it all done verbally? conversations? Yes. 25 25 A. In passing at best. Generally, no. And you testified that in Exhibit 23 there 127 129 Q. Did Jessica ever tell you what he had told really are no specific facts or circumstances for a her? written analysis to be given on; right? A. I'm sure, but I don't recall the MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I believe that this is a specifics. general summary of general tax principles, and it Q. Those more specific circumstances that he relayed to her, do you know if those appear in does not apply those general tax principles to any Exhibit 23? Take a look specific set of circumstances. A. I don't know. I find this to be very Q. (By Mr. Moran) And so any specific general, and I don't think it applies to specifics. circumstances that were conveyed from Mr. Johnson to Just as we discussed in 570, I don't think it applies your firm, those aren't written down anywhere? 11 11 these tax principles to any specifics --A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. 12 Q. Okav. 13 13 A. -- would be my general reading of it. A. And there's no documentation applying Q. And why is that? those circumstances to specific tax principles. 15 A. Because that's what the document says. 15 Q. Okay. Why not? 16 Q. Okay. Because it doesn't have any 16 A. Because ultimately -- the specifics of the 17 specific facts that you can apply a statute to? conversation are Jessica's, but ultimately Jessica 18 A. Right. It's a general summary of tax could not find a way to provide him the affirmative 19 19 principles, but it doesn't apply those tax principles opinion that those facts applied to the tax 20 20 to any specific set of facts. principles were in conformance with law. 21 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. You testified to a Q. And did that discussion occur before or 22 22 couple of different timelines. after Exhibit 23? 23 23 There were a series of meetings I 24 24 understand between when Exhibit 570 was sent and when Q. Okay. So was Mr. Johnson satisfied with Exhibit 23 was sent? Exhibit 23?

August 4, 2017

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

130 132 A. I don't know. In other words, why specifically did Q. You just testified that your wife could Mrs. -- did Jessica Anderson -- Jessica Anderson's not give him an analysis applying the law to the legal interpretation not accord with what Mr. Johnson specific facts he was giving her. wanted her to say? Is that fair? A. Can I consult my attorney? A. He could -- I need you to clarify your MR. MORAN: Yes. question. It's not that she wasn't competent to THE WITNESS: I understand you've asked provide an opinion. It's that she couldn't find the the question, but I need to consult with my attorney, specific circumstances and the tax principles that he if that's okay. 10 10 wanted to mesh and work, and so -- and that's Q. (By Mr. Moran) Give me the answer. 11 MR. MARTIN: Can you repeat back the essentially when the representation started to end. 12 12 Q. And do you know if your wife conveyed that question? 13 13 to Mr. Johnson? THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Do 14 14 A. She did. you know specifically why it didn't work? 15 In other words, why specifically did Q. Okay. And do you know what Mr. Johnson's 16 Mrs. -- did Jessica Anderson -- Jessica response was? 17 17 Anderson's legal interpretation not accord with A. I don't. I know of at least one meeting 18 18 what Mr. Johnson wanted her to say?" that I was there that -- I wasn't in the room, but 19 19 MR. MARTIN: You can answer that. both voices were raised, and Mr. Johnson was becoming 20 THE WITNESS: I would like to refer to upset, and I don't know that I necessarily heard 21 21 something Jessica, but she can hold her own, which is why I 22 22 MR. MORAN: You can refer to anything you didn't intervene because she held her own, I'm quite 23 23 want. I'd like to see whatever you refer to. confident of it, but Mr. Johnson became upset because 24 24 THE WITNESS: I understand. That's why essentially he could not convince Jessica of his view 25 25 I'm consulting with my attorney. of these tax principles as applied to circumstances. 131 133 Q. Is that because Mrs. Anderson, your wife, MR. MARTIN: If answering the question didn't agree with the legal analysis he wanted her would require you to divulge a privilege, or if it would require you to disclose attorney-client to --A. Correct. communications that have not been waived, then you MR. PAUL: Objection. Calls for should not answer the question, but otherwise --THE WITNESS: And my interpretation is Q. (By Mr. Moran) Sorry. You can answer. it's been waived, I think. A. Notwithstanding the objection, that would MS. HEALY-GALLAGHER: There is no be my understanding. objection by the privilege holder to the question. 10 Q. Did you ever have discussions with MR. PAUL: Well, to the extent that it 11 11 Ms. Anderson about it? calls for an attorney-client privilege that has not 12 A. Yes. 12 been waived, I instruct you not to answer because you 13 13 Q. And what did she tell you? have your own counsel. 14 A. Essentially that, that she couldn't make THE WITNESS: Right, and I understand what he was asking for work. 15 that, but my concern is that I just would want to be 16 Q. Because what he was asking for was not in absolutely sure that it fits within the parameters of 17 17 accordance with the law? that waiver. I think it does. That's why --18 MR. PAUL: Objection. Calls for 18 MR. PAUL: If there's a question, I would 19 19 speculation -ask that you not answer the question. 20 20 THE WITNESS: To the best --THE WITNESS: Okay. Then the question 21 21 MR. PAUL: -- foundation. generally dealt -- or the answer generally dealt with 22 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Did you get them all 22 the active versus passive income and making it 23 23 on? To the best of my knowledge. passive -- that the income here was passive income, Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you know specifically 24 and he wanted to be able to offset that on active 25 why it didn't work? income, so he basically wanted to make the active

August 4, 2017

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

134 136 appropriately part of the record. income -- or sorry. The passive income of the process of the investment into the solar energy, MR. MORAN: Thank you. I just have a or -- not solar. I'm using solar, but that's not couple of follow-up questions on the last answer you correct. The energy equipment as an active income so that it could offset an individual's other active THE WITNESS: Okay. income, and she could not find a way to structure it Q. (By Mr. Moran) Am I correct that your that that would be plausible. testimony is that Mr. Johnson wanted RaPower-3 The other problem was that she could not members' losses to offset active income? find a way to find investors or purchasers of the A. That is my understanding from 10 10 energy equipment as -- what's the word? There's a conversations with Jessica. 11 word. They were not involved enough. They did not Q. Okay. And Jessica disagreed with that, 12 have enough to satisfy the material participation. correct? 13 She could not find a way to structure a situation 13 A. Yes. 14 14 where people would be purchasing this equipment such Q. And then I think the second part of your 15 that those two issues would be overcome. answer was that Mr. Johnson wanted RaPower-3 members 16 MR. MORAN: Okay. to have material participation; is that correct? 17 MR. MARTIN: Let's break before you ask 17 A. Yes. 18 your next question. Let me confer with Mr. Anderson. 18 And is it your testimony that Jessica 19 19 (Counsel conferring with witness outside Anderson disagreed with that interpretation? 20 20 conference room from 11:13 a.m. to 11:18 a.m.) A. Given the set of circumstances that 21 21 MR. MORAN: Go back on. Mr. Johnson discussed with Jessica on possibility of 22 22 Mr. Anderson, just a couple of follow-up structuring the transactions, she could not find a 23 23 questions on the last answer you gave. way to say that they would be material participants. 24 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Before I ask that, during Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, Jessica 25 the break, did you talk with anyone about the 25 conveyed that disagreement to Mr. Johnson? 135 137 substance of your testimony today? She did. Discussed confidentiality issues. Q. Do you know when that was? Q. With who? A. Exactly, no. Was it in the October/November 2010 time A. I forget your name. MR. PAUL: Steven Paul. frame? THE WITNESS: Steven Paul and my attorney. A. It could have been slightly later. Well, That's all. it could have been into 2011. MR. PAUL: We have no further objection to Q. Okay. Was it at least some time before the questions that were asked. That was the subject March 2011? 10 A. No. Well, I mean, it could have been of the discussion, whether our waiver extended to the 11 11 conversation that you've already had on the record. before, but it would have been after. 12 12 I expressed to him that I felt that it was waived. Q. What's the latest date it could have been? 13 13 MR. MORAN: Okay. A. Could have been -- the latest would have 14 MR. PAUL: That's the extent of it. been June of 2011. 15 15 MR. MORAN: Mr. Paul, I'm going to ask you Q. Okay. Why do you think that the latest it 16 to withdraw the objection that you made -- I believe 16 could have been was June 2011? 17 17 you did make an objection, then he answered. A. It's my understanding that Jessica sent 18 MR. PAUL: To the extent I need to, I'll Mr. Johnson an e-mail detailing her issues with those 19 19 withdraw it. He's already testified to it, so it's two things that she could not provide, or she 20 20 already waived on the record. couldn't make it work, and suggested that Mr. Johnson 21 21 MR. MORAN: Okay. consult with alternate counsel who maybe could help 22 MR. MARTIN: And I think Mr. Anderson gave 23 23 testimony with respect to what he felt was Q. Did you look for a copy of that e-mail? 24 24 appropriate, and I don't know that any objection was A. I did. disregarded, so I think the testimony stands and is Q. Did you find it?

August 4, 2017

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

138 140 Privilege Log on line 19, Bates Nos. Anderson 79 to A. I did. You found that e-mail? 80, Description: Privilege Log ALC Reference B --(Witness nodding head affirmatively.) Scan of e-mail sent from Jessica Anderson to Todd F. Q. What did you do with that e-mail after you Anderson dated June 11, 2011. found it? It's a communication from Jessica A. I safeguarded it pursuant to the Subpoena Anderson, Anderson Law Center, PC, to Neldon Johnson in his capacity as an officer of RaPower-3. It's and listed that e-mail on the privilege record. Q. I direct your attention to Exhibit 572. described as investment property/income defined. It was not provided. A privilege claim was asserted, A. I do not have a 57 -- yes, I do. 10 10 Q. See if you can identify where that e-mail and the reason for the privilege claim was that the 11 11 document contains legal advice. appears. (Witness examining document.) Based on the content of your testimony, I 13 13 THE WITNESS: I can't identify it. believe that document, one, is responsive to the 14 14 MR. MARTIN: Are you having him look at United States Subpoena --15 15 both documents, 572 --A. Well, first, I've got to back way up to MR. MORAN: I'd invite Mr. Anderson to the start of this question. I did not say what you 17 17 are saying I said. look at both Privilege Logs, see if he can identify 18 when it was produced. I represent that I reviewed it 18 Q. Okay. Please correct me. 19 19 A. I said I did not prepare this. I did not through production. I don't see an e-mail. I do 20 identify it on Exhibit 572. have knowledge of a letter that sounds a little bit 21 21 Q. Okay. 22 22 THE WITNESS: It would be Exhibit B of A. I identified a document as Exhibit B on 23 23 the fourth page of Exhibit 571. those that were not turned over. Sorry. MR. MORAN: Okay. I'm going to ask that MR. MARTIN: On Exhibit 571? 25 THE WITNESS: Huh? that document be produced to the United States. I've 139 141 MR. MARTIN: On Exhibit 571? reviewed our records. It does not appear it was THE WITNESS: Yes. I apologize. So if we produced. I'm not sure who has a copy. Mr. Anderson look at Exhibit 571, on the one, two, three, fourth acknowledged that he didn't do it. page, it would be Exhibit B referenced there. Yeah, Mr. Paul? 571's the Amended Privilege Log. MR. PAUL: Yes, this is Mr. Paul. I will certainly review our records, and I did note and we MR. MARTIN: Correct. THE WITNESS: It may be there. I just had a discussion off the record that it was not part can't see it. of the order, and that may have just been an MR. MORAN: Let's just go off the record oversight, so when I return to my office, I will look for a minute. at it, confirm whether it is outside the scope of the 11 11 (Discussion held off the record.) waiver that we've provided, and if it falls within 12 (Recess from 11:27 a.m. to 11:35 a.m.) 12 the scope of the waiver, we will send you a copy. 13 13 MR. MORAN: Go back on. MR. MARTIN: And for the record also, I Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you believe the agreement that was made with the Court 15 previously testified about an e-mail that your wife 15 and the Court's instruction was that documents to be 16 sent to Neldon Johnson. 16 produced would, in fact, come from counsel for 17 You indicated that you believed it was Mr. Johnson, and so to the extent the document gets produced to the United States pursuant to the provided, I think it would come from Mr. Paul. 19 19 MR. MORAN: That's fine, and, Mr. Paul, 20 20 A. No, I did not testify to that. I'll just ask that if you decide that it should 21 21 Q. Okay. Can you correct your testimony? remain privileged, then you will expound upon the 22 22 A. I testified that it was listed on a explanation, because the explanation -- the 23 23 Privilege Log that was produced to the United States. description of those documents was inadequate in our 24 24 Q. Okay. And you've identified that document view. So to the extent that you deem it privileged, within Exhibit 572, which is Defendants' Supplemental we'd ask that you explain why.

August 4, 2017

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

142 144 MR. PAUL: I will get back to you. A. Yes. When? MR. MORAN: Fine. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, have you A. I don't recall exactly. It was years seen that e-mail? later. A. Yes. And what was the context of that Q. Okay. What does it say? conversation? MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's A. The context of the conversation was along been asked and answered. That's how we got to this the lines of you should have stuck with us, we're making -- we're doing really well. part of the conversation. 10 10 MR. MORAN: Right. Q. And how did you happen to come into 11 11 THE WITNESS: The specifics of the e-mail contact with Mr. Johnson? 12 I don't recall. The generality, I've answered the A. The area Sub For Santa -- I'm a business 13 owner, I'm the City Attorney of Delta. I was 14 14 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Would you repeat your participating in a tree festival where you bid on 15 15 answer? trees, and the money raised goes to Sub For Santa. MR. PAUL: I'll object to the question. I was at that event as a bidder to make a 17 17 It's been asked and answered on the record. I'd contribution to that tree festival, and Mr. Johnson 18 rather not him speculate further than that he's 18 was there as well. 19 19 already testified to, but I don't have the right to Q. Okay. Do you think that was more than 20 20 tell you not to answer. five years ago? 21 21 THE WITNESS: That's true. Essentially A. Very likely. 22 22 that Jessica's concern was is that, and had been Q. Since you saw Mr. Johnson at the tree 23 23 festival, have you ever spoken to him? throughout the process, that she could not resolve the issues of material participation and the concerns A. No. 25 25 of active and passive income in relation to the Q. How about Glenda Johnson? 143 145 business structure that RaPower was attempting or Α. No. wanting to put together regarding energy equipment Q. Anyone you know to be a member of and marketing with that energy equipment, and she Mr. Johnson's family? said that she couldn't overcome that concern and A. No. referred Mr. Johnson, as the agent of RaPower, to Q. Back up a little bit to the time period between November, when Exhibit 23 was sent, and June somebody else. She didn't give any specific names, but suggested that he consult with other attorneys of 2011 who might be able to solve the problem. You testified that there were meetings? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. A. Yes. Q. Were they frequent? And there was also a reference to the fact 11 11 that she -- I don't know if it was she or we -- err A. It's hard to say. I don't know. 12 on the side of conservative, were conservative in our 12 Q. Okay. Did you ever participate in those 13 13 counsel. meetings? Q. Okay. Did Mr. Johnson respond to that A. In passing. 15 15 e-mail in any way? Who handled those meetings for your firm? 16 A. Not that I'm aware of. 16 Jessica. 17 Q. He didn't call? 17 Q. Okay. Generally can you tell me what the 18 substance of those meetings were? A. To the best of my knowledge, that was the 19 19 end of our association with Mr. Johnson or RaPower. 20 Q. I'm not asking for specifics here, but did 20 Q. Are you aware of any written 21 21 you ever have any occasion after June of 2011 to communications that occurred between November of 2010 22 perform legal services for Mr. Johnson? and June of 2011? 23 23 A. Written communications between November --24 24 Q. Have you spoken to Mr. Johnson since June Between Exhibit 23 in November of 2010 and 25 of 2011? when your wife sent that final e-mail?

August 4, 2017

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

146 148 in your files and you produced it? A. As of today, the only written communication I'm aware of is the final e-mail. I A. I produced it to my attorney, who produced -- I understand it was part of the documents that mean, that's what I'm aware of. MR. MORAN: Mark an exhibit. were produced to you by RaPower or Neldon Johnson. Q. And when you found it, it was a Word (EXHIBIT 573 WAS MARKED.) MR. MORAN: Is this Exhibit 573? document on your computer? A. Yes. THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been Q. Exhibit 573 references a prospectus. Do you see that? given a copy of what's been marked for identification 10 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 573. A. I'm reading it. 11 (Witness examining document.) A. Yes. Q. Do you recognize this document? THE WITNESS: I guess, no, I'm not seeing 13 13 it. Where --14 14 Q. What is it? Q. (By Mr. Moran) It's in the first 15 15 A. It's a document that was in my file as I sentence. It says, "The purpose of the claims made 16 investigated pursuant to the Subpoena. in the prospectus of RaPower-3." 17 17 Q. It appears to be a letter from your firm. A. Oh, okay. 18 It was set up for Jessica Anderson's 18 What is the term "prospectus" referring 19 19 signature? to? 20 A. Yes. A. I don't know. 21 21 Q. The date says February 9, 2017. Q. Okay. All right. 22 22 Do you believe that to be correct? MR. MORAN: It's a quarter to twelve. 23 23 We've been going for almost four hours. I suggest we A. No. 24 Q. Why not? take 45 minutes for lunch. We can go off the record. 25 A. I am positive that it was a Word file, and (Lunch break from 11:48 a.m. to 12:38 p.m.) 147 149 almost all of our Word files have auto updating date MR MORAN: Go back on Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, before the Q. According to the two Privilege Logs, my lunch break, we were talking, I think, about understanding is this document was supposed to be Exhibit 23, and you testified that this was a draft Word document that you sent to Mr. Neldon Johnson and dated October 14, 2010. A. And to the -- and I honestly can't tell Glenda Johnson; is that correct? you why I dated that on the Privilege Log. I think A. Yes. it might have been from review of billings. Q. I have a few questions about Exhibit 23. Q. Okay. Starting at the top, it says, "Dear 10 Potential RaPower-3 Customer." A. I don't know. 11 11 Q. Do you think it was sometime in the A. Yes. 12 late -- or fall 2010 to June 2011 time frame? 12 Was that in the Word document that you 13 13 A. Best guess, it was before 2011. sent? Q. Okay. So is there any reason to believe A. I don't know. 15 15 it wasn't sent sometime in the fall of 2010? Q. What does the term "potential RaPower-3 16 MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. 16 customer" mean to you? 17 THE WITNESS: I have no -- in reviewing 17 A. I don't know. 18 18 the documents, and I reviewed them with Jessica, we Q. I think earlier you testified that the 19 19 really have very little recollection of this purpose of Exhibit 23 was intended to be a draft to 20 document, so I can answer -- I'll just state at the 20 solicit more information from Neldon Johnson? 21 21 front end anything I say about this document is A. Well, it was more -- it was moving towards 22 speculation because I don't remember why we drafted a product that I think he wanted for marketing or 23 23 the document. I don't remember if it was mailed. I education regarding his business or product, and I 24 24 don't -- we don't remember. had to start somewhere, and so I guess when I say Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. But you did find it intent, would be my intent of providing it to

August 4, 2017

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

150 152 Mr. Johnson would be to further the dialogue as to the tax principles and go from there, and we'll fill what that end product would look like. in some holes later. We never reached that point. Q. Okay. So in your mind, do you know if Q. Okay. And when you say "that point," what that end product might begin with "Dear Potential are you referring to? RaPower-3 Customer"? A. After we have more information. A. It could. Q. Because you never got any information? Q. It was a draft, so that was a --A. I never got more information. A. Yeah. I don't know -- you know, with that Q. Who did you ask for that additional kind of a -- I mean, I remember thinking then and I information from? 10 10 still would think it now, I believe, that I don't A. The only individuals that we spoke with 11 know exactly what I'm doing. You know, is it a regarding RaPower -- I think I've said this before. 12 12 letter? Is it a brochure? You know, what is the end I'll say it again. 13 13 thing going to look like? I was familiar with The only individuals we spoke with 14 14 letters, so I started with a letter. regarding RaPower-3 were Neldon Johnson, and Glenda 15 Q. Okay. And your understanding is that this was there but really did not participate in the 16 16 end product would be used to -- you said marketing conversations. 17 17 Q. All right. Just so I'm clear, the term 18 A. I'm speculating, but I think that was when 18 "RaPower-3 energy equipment" means nothing to you? 19 19 we started out the drafting of this particular A. It really doesn't. 20 document, that was kind of where the end result was Q. Did it ever? 21 21 going to be. A. Not to me. 22 Q. Okay. What was Mr. Johnson marketing? 22 Q. All right. Turning on Roman numeral I in 23 23 A. I don't know exactly. Exhibit 23, there's a reference to energy credit and Q. Okay. Exhibit 23 in this second paragraph 24 Internal Revenue Code Sections 45 and 48. 25 says, "With the purchase of RaPower-3 Energy 25 Do you see that? 151 153 Equipment." A. I do. A. What is energy equipment? I mean, to some Q. Okay. And within that paragraph you extent -- I mean, I understand what it says, and reference a Qualified Progress Expenditure Property you've correctly recited what it says, but I can't (QPEP). tell you exactly what that was at the time or today. Do you see that? Q. So at the time you didn't know what A. I do. RaPower-3 energy equipment was? Q. Okay. Why did you include -- or what was A. I did not. that QPEP meant to refer to here? Q. Okay. And you still don't today? A. I don't know. 10 Q. Did you intend for the term "QPEP" to A. I don't. 11 11 Q. Okay. Who came up with the term apply any analysis to RaPower 3's energy equipment? 12 "RaPower-3 energy equipment"? 12 MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. 13 13 A. I believe it was Neldon, but I don't know. THE WITNESS: I don't know. If I haven't Q. Could it have been anyone other than made it clear regarding this document, the 15 15 Neldon? substantive drafting was done by Jessica, so I'm not 16 A. I don't think so. 16 trying to be -- I'm not trying to hide any balls. I 17 17 Q. Is there anything other than RaPower-3 don't know because I know essentially --18 energy equipment that would have been being marketed? conceptually, when we first talked about starting the 19 19 A. I don't know. drafting process and let's start with a letter --20 20 Q. Can you think of anything? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. 21 21 A. Again, it was a draft. It was to -- in my A. -- but the actual drafting and research 22 22 view, I actually do remember this quite a bit, is was done by Jessica. 23 23 that I don't -- you know, in speaking with Jessica Q. All right. Mr. Anderson, I'll direct your 24 24 and stuff, it was we need more information. We're attention to the page that's been Bates stamped not really sure what we're doing, but let's summarize US001656.

August 4, 2017

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

154 156 Down at the bottom of that page, it says, You think either one of those words that "Stated simply, if you do most of the work in was communicated to Mr. Johnson? the business using the RaPower-3 energy A. Those specific words, I don't know. equipment, any losses associated with your Q. Okay. business will be nonpassive and can be deducted A. I believe -- I mean, I can say that I without limitation." believe it was conveyed. I cannot say specifically Do you see that? how, but it was by no means a final product, and it A. I do. was a work in progress. Q. I appreciate that you didn't draft this, Q. Conveyed to who? 10 10 but if you know, who does the "you" refer to? A. To Neldon Johnson. 11 11 A. I mean, assuming that we had to start as Q. Okay. Did Mr. Johnson acknowledge what 12 you told him? being a RaPower-3 customer, I believe you would be a 13 13 general person that may be a customer, but I believe A. I don't know. I can't answer that. I 14 the statement kind of applies to -- you know, I don't know. 15 Q. Was it you or your wife, Jessica Anderson, 16 MR. MARTIN: Go ahead and wait for his that conveyed that information to Neldon Johnson? 17 17 question. A. I don't know. 18 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. But you know it was one of the two of you? 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Moran) All right. So you refer A. Yeah. It would have -- there were only 20 to a RaPower-3 customer? two of us in the firm, and, again, we worked closely 21 21 A. I don't know. and collaborated closely, and it might have been, 22 22 Q. You don't know? hey, call Neldon and do this or I did it. I don't 23 23 A. I don't. remember. 24 Q. Later on in that sentence you refer to a Q. Okay. And Exhibit 23 was sent in 25 business. November. 155 157 Then you testified that there was a series Do you see that? A. I do. of meetings until June 2011? Q. Whose business is that referring to? A. I cannot say how long those meetings A. I don't know. persisted or when those meetings occurred. From the Q. Okay. You've testified that Exhibit 23 date that Exhibit 23 was sent to the time that was a draft you sent to Neldon Johnson and Glenda representation was officially terminated via e-mail, Johnson? I don't know when those meetings occurred. I can A. Correct. tell that you they occurred between those times. Q. Okay. How did you communicate to Mr. and Q. Okay. And during those meetings, is that 10 Mrs. Johnson that Exhibit 23 was a draft? when it would have been conveyed that Exhibit 23 was 11 11 A. It was a -- I mean, there was discussions, a draft? 12 and actually, you know, it was sent without cover. 12 A. Could have been. 13 Really, the e-mail didn't contain anything. I do 13 Q. Would it have been any other time? 14 recall -- I can't remember who I had the phone call, A. It could have been a telephone call when but it was a phone call of, hey, there's a draft. 15 it was initially sent. Like I said, my best guess is 16 Take a look at that and let's go from there, you 16 that it was sent without cover, meaning I would have 17 17 picked up the phone and said here it is and what it 18 I don't know that we used the words is, letting him know that it was in his e-mail. 19 19 "draft," but it was a, you know, here's a start, Q. Okay. When you say "what it is," are you 20 because the meetings prior to that had been we're 20 referring to it was a draft? 21 21 working -- you know, we're working on this thing. A. I don't know that I conveyed specifically 22 Q. Okay. Who had these conversations? the words "draft." I don't know. 23 A. I don't know. 23 Q. Would you have conveyed to him it wasn't I mean, you used the word "start" and 24 final or that effect? 25 "draft " A. Take a look at it, see what you think or

August 4, 2017

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

158 160 something along those lines. I don't know if I would (By Mr. Moran) Did you have anything have been very specific in that it is a draft. else? Q. Okay. A. No. A. I believe that it was clearly conveyed to MR. MORAN: Mark this. him in one way or another that it was not a final (EXHIBIT 574 WAS MARKED.) Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been Q. Okay. Did you authorize Mr. Johnson to given a copy of what's been marked for identification release Exhibit 23 to anyone else? as Plaintiff's Exhibit 574. A. No. Do you recognize this document? 10 Q. Okay. How did you communicate that? A. I do. 11 A. I did not -- your question is did I Q. What is it? 12 A. It appears to be an e-mail from Greg authorize it. The answer is no. 13 13 Q. Okay. Did you ever tell him that he Shepard to -- it's the same address that I am 14 14 couldn't release it to anyone else? familiar with as Neldon Johnson's and also Glenda 15 15 A. I don't recall that. Q. Okay. Did he ever ask permission to Q. Okay. Is this a true and accurate copy of 17 17 release it to any third parties? a document that came from your files? 18 A. No. 18 A. I believe so. 19 19 Q. Mr. Anderson, I'm handing you what's Q. Do you have any idea how you came to be in marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 548. possession of this document? 21 21 I'm not sure that you recognize this exact A. Specifically, no. The documents that are 22 22 document, but I'm going to ask you to direct your in my file largely were produced or given to me by 23 23 Neldon Johnson. attention to the text beginning with December 1, 24 2010. Q. Okay. 25 25 A. And I would assume that would be the case A. Yes. 159 161 Q. Do you recognize this text? with this e-mail as well. Q. All right. Can you think of anyone else A. I do. Q. What is it? you would have gotten Exhibit 574 from? A. It appears to be a document that is substantially -- the text is substantially similar to Q. So as far as you know, it must have come the Exhibit 23. from Neldon Johnson? Q. Okay. And Exhibit 548 appears to be a A. To the best of my knowledge. Again, all the documents in the file, including this one, came printout from RaPower-3.com's website. from Neldon Johnson. A. I would agree with that. Q. Unless it was a document that you Q. Dated January 22, 2011? 11 11 A. Where are you seeing that? prepared? 12 Q. Down at the bottom, bottom right-hand 12 A. Unless it was a document that we prepared, 13 13 corner ves. A. I will agree that it signifies January 22, Q. And those kinds of documents would be in 15 2011, on the bottom of the document. 15 vour letter --16 Q. Okay. Did you authorize the text that you 16 A. Yes. 17 17 said appears to be substantially similar to the text Q. -- or e-mail? 18 of your letter in Exhibit 23? A. Yes. 19 19 MR. PAUL: Objection. Asked and answered. MR. MORAN: Mark that. 20 20 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did you authorize that to (EXHIBIT 575 WAS MARKED.) 21 21 be placed on RaPower-3.com's website? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, we've handed 22 A. No. you a copy of what's been marked as Plaintiff's 23 23 Exhibit 575. MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's 24 been asked and answered. 24 Do you recognize it? THE WITNESS: Sorry. No. A. To some extent.

August 4, 2017

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

162 164 Q. What is it? the document that you found in your files? It's a document that was in the file that A. To the best of my knowledge. I assembled, or the documents that I investigated and Q. Do you know where you got Exhibit 576? assembled pursuant to the Subpoena issued in this A. To the best of my knowledge, it was given case to me. to my office by Neldon Johnson. Q. Okay. Do you recall where this document Q. And directing your attention back to came from? Exhibit 575, is that a true and accurate copy of the A. To the best of my knowledge, it was given document that came from your files? to me or given to our office by Neldon Johnson. A. To the best of my knowledge. 10 10 Q. Okay. Is there anyone else it could have Q. That's a yes? Yes, to the best of your 11 11 come from? knowledge? 12 A. Not that I'm aware of. Yes, to the best of my knowledge. 13 13 Q. Did you talk to Neldon Johnson at all Q. Did you ever discuss Exhibit 576 with 14 14 about Exhibit 575? Neldon Johnson? 15 A No A. Not specifically. Q. Do you know why he gave it to you? Q. Do you know if your wife discussed 17 17 Exhibit 576 with Neldon Johnson? 18 Q. Do you know where he got it from? 18 A. I don't know. 19 (EXHIBIT 577 WAS MARKED.) Q. Did Jessica Anderson talk to Mr. Anderson Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been 21 21 given a copy of what's been marked for identification about Exhibit 575? 22 22 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 577. A. I don't --23 23 MR. PAUL: Object on foundation. Do you recognize this document? 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know. A. I do. 25 25 Q. What is it? Q. (By Mr. Moran) I again direct your 163 165 attention back to Exhibit 574. A. It's a document that was discovered by me Have you ever spoken to Greg Shepherd? in my search for documents in compliance with the Subpoena issued to me in this case. Q. Do you know if Jessica Anderson has ever Q. What's the nature of this document? spoken to Greg Shepard? A. To the best of my knowledge, it appears to A. I don't believe she did, but I don't know be notes made by an attorney at or about the time for sure. that we were representing RaPower-3. Q. Okay. I think you testified that you Q. Do you know the identification of that never talked to Neldon Johnson about Exhibit 574? attorney? 10 A. Not specifically. A. I have subsequently discussed this 11 11 Q. Did your wife talk to Neldon Johnson about document with Jessica, and she believed -- she had 12 Exhibit 574? 12 conveved to me that she believed that she made 13 13 A. I don't know. this -- made these notes. MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. Q. Was this document -- this is a Word 15 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. processing document? 16 (EXHIBIT 576 WAS MARKED.) 16 A. I believe it was, yes. 17 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Mr. Anderson, you've been 17 Q. And it's notes of what? 18 given a copy of what's been marked for identification A. Notes of a meeting with Neldon Johnson. 19 19 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 576. Q. That Jessica Anderson had with Neldon 20 Do you recognize this document? 20 Johnson? 21 21 A. To the extent there was documents that was A. Correct. 22 discovered in my research of documents in my Q. Okay. And according to the Privilege Log, 23 23 possession pursuant to the Subpoena issued to me in this was on or about October 10, 2010? 24 24 this case. A. Yeah. Q. Is Exhibit 576 a true and accurate copy of Q. Okay. Would this be notes from one of the

August 4, 2017

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

166 168 several meetings you testified to earlier? prosecutor, so he represents adverse cases, defense A. Probably. cases for misdemeanor crimes, and we're also just colleagues both practicing in Millard County. Q. Okay. Q. Is he somebody you know professionally? A. But I want to be clear. My current recollection is based on a current conversation with Jessica about this specific document and that she Q. Is he a friend of yours? believed she remembered taking these notes. A. Yes. Q. I take it recently you had a conversation Q. How did Mr. Bennett come to prepare this with Jessica Anderson -letter in Exhibit 480? 10 A. Correct. A. I asked him to. 11 11 Q. Why did you ask him to? Q. -- and she relayed to you --A. Yes. A. Because it came to my attention that the 13 13 Q. Let me finish the question. text of Exhibit 23 was being utilized on RaPower's 14 14 She relayed to you that these are her website, as you had previously indicated, and that 15 15 notes, not yours? was without my authorization or knowledge. A. We believe, yeah. We cannot say for sure, Q. How did you learn that? 17 A. I was contacted by the IRS in but we believe. Q. Do you have any recollection of 18 18 approximately 2013, I think, asked what I knew about 19 19 the letter and about the website. I basically said I preparing --20 20 A. I do not have any recollection of didn't know anything about it. 21 21 preparing these notes. They sent me a fax, or that IRS agent sent 22 22 Q. Mr. Anderson, I've given you a copy of me a fax of what appeared to be a printout of the 23 23 what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's RaPower website with a text that was substantially Exhibit 480. similar as your Exhibit 23, but that text had been 25 25 A. Yeah. modified, in my opinion, substantially, and it was 167 169 Q. This was marked previously in the after that I asked Mr. Bennett to send the cease deposition of Gregory Shepard. and desist letter. Do you recognize Exhibit 480? Q. Do you remember who at the IRS contacted A. I do. vou? Q. What is it? A. I don't. A. Well, it's a document that was prepared by So that was sometime in 2013? an attorney at my request addressed to RaPower and A. I believe. Prior to that time I had no knowledge. Q. Is Exhibit 480 a document that was Q. So between June 2011 and when the IRS obtained from your files? contacted you, did you have any contact with Neldon 11 11 A. Yes. Johnson? 12 Q. And is it a true and accurate copy of the 12 A. No. 13 13 document that was in your files? Q. Okay. A. Yes. Well, other than the tree festival thing, 15 Q. Okay. Who's the attorney you just 15 which I don't know when that occurred. I'm sorry. I 16 referenced? 16 don't know. A. Tate W. Bennett. 17 Q. Okay. How about Glenda Johnson? 18 Q. Who's Mr. Bennett? A. No. 19 19 A. An attorney. Q. Okay. So as far as you were concerned, in 20 20 Q. An attorney who you know? June 2011 your wife sent Neldon Johnson an e-mail 21 21 saying he needed to find a new lawyer, right? 22 Q. Okay. How do you know him? A. Yes. 23 23 A. We work with -- I work with Mr. Bennett on And then that was the end of it? 24 24 cases. He is currently the Millard County public Yes. defender. As I previously mentioned, I'm a Q. Okay. And then sometime in 2013 the IRS

August 4, 2017

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

170 172 reached out to you? a manner you didn't authorize, and then you reached A. Yes. out to Tate Bennett? Q. What was your reaction to learning that A. Yes. Mr. Johnson was using text very similar to your Q. What did you ask Mr. Bennett to do? letter? MR. MARTIN: Are you asking for that A. I was upset. communication? Q. Why were you upset? MR. MORAN: Hold on. I'll withdraw the A. I had never authorized that letter to be last question. used in that way. As far as I was concerned, a Q. (By Mr. Moran) What did you have 10 10 termination -- or our representation had ended years Mr. Bennett -- withdrawn. 11 11 Did Mr. Bennett send Exhibit 480? ago, and we had told him that we couldn't support A. To the best of my knowledge. what he was trying to do, and I assumed that our 13 13 relationship had come to an end. Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, who 14 14 Q. Did you send Mr. Johnson a final bill? did he send it to? 15 15 A. No. A. To the address listed on that Exhibit 480. Q. Did he ever pay you for the work you did? Q. Okay. Did Mr. Bennett tell you that he 17 17 For what I billed, yes. had sent it? 18 Q. Okay. Do you remember about when you sent 18 A. Yes. 19 19 him the last bill? Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Bennett didn't send it? A. I don't. I don't remember. 21 21 Q. Do you think it was before or after 22 22 November 2010? Q. Okay. Is Mr. Bennett someone who is 23 23 usually true to his word? A. There might have been one after, one or 24 two after. A. Yes. 25 25 Q. Why didn't you send him a bill for some of MR. PAUL: Objection. Foundation. 171 173 the work you did subsequent to that? Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did anything happen after A. Subsequent after? Mr. Bennett sent Exhibit 480? Q. Subsequent to the final bill? A. Could you be more specific? A. Yeah. Delta's a small town, and I had an Q. Was there ever a response from anyone in unhappy client who was unpleased with the work that reaction to Exhibit 480? A. No, not that I -- not that Mr. Bennett was we had done, and we had not been able to accomplish what he wanted, and generally my experience had been ever -- ever told me about. running a business in a small town with my father is Q. Okay. How about directly to you? A. No. that bad PR is very detrimental to your business, and 10 Q. Okay. Again, I direct your attention to so I wanted to make that separation as painless as 11 11 possible so that I wouldn't receive bad shop talk so the last page of Exhibit 480. 12 12 to speak, and so that would be -- there was In the second to last paragraph, it says, 13 13 definitely time that was spent with Mr. Johnson for "We are requesting you respond to this 14 demand on or before the close of business on RaPower that was not billed. 15 Q. Okay. But for basic business reasons you July 10, 2013." 16 16 decided not to bill him for it? A. Correct. 17 17 A. Correct, yeah. We were trying to Q. Exhibit 480 is undated. 18 18 establish a reputation -- we had just opened a Sounds like you reached out to Mr. Bennett 19 19 practice. We were trying to establish a reputation sometime in 2013? 20 20 as somebody who -- you know, an attorney who would A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Do I understand this was sent some time treat you fairly and honestly for work we did, would 22 before July 10, 2013? bill you fairly, and he wasn't happy with the work 23 23 A. I asked Mr. Bennett because -that we did, so we didn't bill him. 24 24 MR. MARTIN: I just caution you not to Q. All right. So the IRS told you and you divulge attorney-client communication with learned that your letter may have been being used in

August 4, 2017

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

174 176 but as far as when it got into the specifics of applying it to tax application, there was never any MR. PAUL: That was a yes or no question. specificity with me regarding solar lenses. THE WITNESS: Okay. Ask the question one Q. And you said you recall that he told you MR. PAUL: Do you want to have her to read generally how it worked, right? it? A. Yes. Q. What did he tell you generally how it MR. MORAN: Yeah, why don't you read it back. worked? THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Do I A. We covered this, but basically it acted 10 10 understand this was sent some time before July more like a magnifying glass than a panel that 11 10. 2013?" collects the solar energy as a traditional solar 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you panel harnesses heat, creates steam to create energy. 13 13 That's my very rudimentary understanding of what he 14 14 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Is it correct that explained. 15 15 Exhibit 480 was sent some time before July 10, 2013? Q. And originally I asked you if he mentioned A. To the best of my knowledge. solar lenses. Sounds like he did. 17 17 Q. All right. According to the Privilege Log A. In a very limited fashion. 18 that Mr. Bennett prepared, it was dated June 30 of 18 Q. Did he ever tell you who owns the lenses? 19 19 2013 20 Is there any reason to believe that's Did he tell you how much the lenses cost? 21 21 incorrect? 22 22 A. Mr. Bennett prepared that log, so I am not Did he tell you anything about the 23 23 transactions involving the lenses? aware of any reason why that's not correct. Q. You testified that the text part of your No. 25 25 letter that the IRS sent you was modified. Okay. Did he ever tell you that the 175 177 Do you recall that testimony? lenses were part of a system -- I guess you've answered that. Q. Do you recall what was modified? You've described, I think you said, a A. I didn't go through it with a word for rudimentary system, right? word comparison, but in Exhibit 548 that you have A. I did not say "system." provided today, which is, I'm quite positive, a Q. You didn't? different date than what the IRS had e-mailed, or (Witness shaking head negatively.) faxed to me, all reference to Anderson has been Q. Okay. Did Mr. Johnson ever communicate to you that the lenses were part of a system? removed from the document. So the signature block has been modified to remove Anderson, the disclaimer 11 11 Q. He just communicated that the lenses language has been modified to remove Anderson, and 12 those were the biggest indicators to me -- those are 12 concentrated sunlight and that produced steam and 13 what jumped out to me as the biggest modifications. 13 ultimately power? I did not go through it word for word to see if there MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it 15 was other modifications. 15 misstates his prior testimony. 16 Q. Mr. Anderson, today we've talked a lot 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not even sure that I 17 about energy equipment, and that's what Exhibit 23 would say it's power. It creates steam, and I 18 references, right? probably drew the conclusion of what happens next. 19 19 A. I believe that's the extent of the He was very unspecific in the explanation of how the 20 20 definition that's put in 23. technology worked. 21 21 Q. Did Neldon Johnson ever discuss solar Q. (By Mr. Moran) It sounds like you don't 22 22 lenses with you? have any understanding of what the ultimate product 23 23 A. I remember the time that he told me about 24 24 his energy -- or his solar technology. I remember A. I don't. that conversation and then generally how it worked, Q. Did Mr. Johnson ever communicate to you

August 4, 2017

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

178 180 that the lenses or anything else were producing missed the last word. Qualify for -income? MR. MORAN: Depreciation. A. No. THE WITNESS: I don't think I can Q. Did he say how he expected to generate speculate on what Mr. Johnson believes or doesn't income? believe. Q. (By Mr. Moran) I'll represent to you that A. No. Q. Was the potential for income ever Mr. Johnson testified that he believes his lenses qualify for depreciation and solar energy credits. discussed? A. No, not that I can recall. My question to you is: Did you give 10 10 Mr. Johnson any reason to believe that? Q. Okay. 11 11 MR. PAUL: I reassert my objections. A. I mean, it was -- no. 12 Calls for speculation and lack of foundation. Q. What were you told about RaPower-3 13 13 THE WITNESS: I really don't have an 14 14 MR. PAUL: Objection, vague, and object to opinion. I don't think I can answer your question. 15 the extent it's been asked and answered. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you recall conveying THE WITNESS: And I don't know. any information to Mr. Johnson that would support his 17 17 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. You referenced belief that his solar lenses qualify for depreciation 18 Exhibit 23 and I believe Exhibit 570 in reference to 18 or solar tax credits? 19 19 RaPower-3 members. A. No. 20 20 A. And I don't --MR. PAUL: You mean beyond the exhibits 21 21 MR. MARTIN: Was that a question? that you've used today? 22 22 Q. (By Mr. Moran) What do you know about a Q. (By Mr. Moran) I'll ask you to answer. 23 23 RaPower-3 member? MR. MARTIN: I'll object. I think that 24 A. I don't. commentary assumes facts not in evidence. 25 25 THE WITNESS: I just really don't know how Q. Okay. Neldon Johnson was deposed a few 179 181 weeks ago, and he testified that he believes to answer your question. Q. (By Mr. Moran) Do you recall giving RaPower-3's solar lenses qualify for depreciation and solar energy credits, and he claims that in part that Mr. Johnson any reason to believe that his solar belief came from you or your firm. lenses qualify for depreciation or solar energy credits? Yes or no? Do you know why that is? MR. PAUL: Objection. Lack of foundation, A. I don't. Q. Is Mr. Johnson's belief unfounded? argumentative, assumes facts not in evidence. MR. PAUL: Objection. Calls for THE WITNESS: And with that objection, speculation. I'll answer no. 10 THE WITNESS: And I really don't have an Q. (By Mr. Moran) Direct your attention back 11 11 opinion as to what Mr. Johnson thinks or doesn't to Exhibit 569. It's the first one we looked at 12 12 13 13 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did you give Mr. Johnson I think you testified that this was a 14 any reason to believe that the solar lenses that document you sent to Mantyla McReynolds? 15 RaPower-3 sells to customers qualify for A. Yeah. 16 16 depreciation? MS. HEALY-GALLAGHER: Yes? 17 17 MR. PAUL: Objection. Calls for THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 18 speculation. Objection. Foundation. Q. (By Mr. Moran) And my understanding is 19 19 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat that that Mr. Johnson had received questions from the 20 20 question? Internal Revenue Service that you assisted him in 21 21 THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: Did responding to? 22 22 MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's you give Mr. Johnson any reason to believe that 23 23 been asked and answered. the solar lenses that RaPower-3 sells to 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. customers qualify for depreciation?" Q. (By Mr. Moran) Okay. Do you know why MR. MARTIN: Sounds like she may have

August 4, 2017

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

182 184 Mantyla McReynolds was asking these questions? Q. You don't recall doing any? A. I don't. Not independent, no. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever get any response from Q. Did you engage anybody else to do research Mantyla McReynolds after sending Exhibit 569? besides either yourself or your wife? A. No. I never heard from them. A. No. Q. The information that you conveyed in Q. Do you believe that Exhibit 23 and Exhibit 569 in response to Mr. McReynolds' queries, Exhibit 570 were accurate when they were written? where did you get that information? A. I believe they're accurate summaries of A. Neldon Johnson. general tax principles, yes. 10 10 Q. Okay. You testified the last time you Q. Okay. And in the drafting of that, did 11 11 talked to Neldon Johnson was in June at that tree you and your wife try to make every effort to make it correct under the law and an honest and complete festival? 13 13 A. Yes. 14 14 Q. Since then you haven't spoken to him? A. I would not say that about 23. 15 15 MR. PAUL: Objection to the extent it's Q. What about 23 don't you think was not 16 been asked and answered three times. accurate, honest or complete? 17 17 THE WITNESS: The answer is still I have MR. MARTIN: Objection. Misstates the 18 18 testimony, but go ahead. Assumes facts not in not talked to him. 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Moran) How about anyone acting on evidence. Go ahead. 20 20 his behalf? THE WITNESS: Because it wasn't a final 21 21 document, I can't say that it was -- that it hadn't 22 22 MR. MORAN: I don't have any further been given the full -- I can't say everything you 23 23 questions. There were some reference statements, but said regarding Exhibit 23. 24 Q. (By Mr. Paul) Because you didn't have a I'll pass the witness to --25 25 chance to finish it? MR. PAUL: I do have a few questions. 183 185 **EXAMINATION** A. Correct. BY MR. PAUL: Q. And you think there may have been Q. Do you know what research was done to additional due diligence that you and/or your wife produce the letters that have been referenced today, would have undertaken before it was finalized? Exhibit 23 and Exhibit 570? A Yes A. Specifically? Q. Was Exhibit 570 provided to the client Q. To the best of your recollection. with the expectation the client would rely on it? A. I do not. Jessica did the substantive A. I don't know. I don't know. research and drafting of those documents. Q. Is Exhibit 570 a final version? 10 10 Q. Do you believe that she undertook A. Well, I believe so. This is not a signed 11 11 sufficient legal research to make a reasoned legal copy, but I believe it's substantially similar to a 12 12 analysis as set forth in those two exhibits? final version. 13 13 MR. MORAN: Objection. Assumes facts not Q. Okay. And Exhibit 23 was sufficiently 14 14 in evidence completed that you provided to the client as a work THE WITNESS: I don't know. in progress? 16 16 Q. (By Mr. Paul) Do you believe that Jessica A. It was provided as a work in progress. 17 17 Anderson had sufficient time to undertake the legal Q. Okay. And you had a level of confidence 18 18 research that was required to provide the analysis that the information that is included in there is 19 19 and opinions that are in the two exhibits that we've legally accurate? 20 mentioned? A. I don't -- I don't know. 21 21 Q. Do you feel that before preparing 22 22 Q. Do you recall conducting any independent Exhibit 23 and Exhibit 570 that you had sufficient 23 23 legal research in support of the two exhibits that opportunity to ask questions of Mr. Johnson related we've referenced today? to the opinions that you were asked to write? A. I do not. A. No. Well, could you --

August 4, 2017

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

186 188 Yeah, and I'll rephrase the question. A. Yes, and I can -- when you put it that Would you rephrase the question for me, way, energy tax credits and depreciation, yes, I can answer those questions because that was clearly what please. Q. Do you feel that before you provided we discussed. Exhibit 570 and Exhibit 23 to Mr. Johnson, that you How that was applied to a specific set of had sufficient time to ask questions regarding the circumstances, I don't feel I could answer those questions because we didn't have those circumstances. opinions you were asked to render? A. I was given sufficient time to ask Q. Okay. So those were beyond the scope of questions. I wasn't given sufficient answers. vour letters? 10 A. Yes. Q. Do you know as we sit here today what 11 Q. But as far as the questions that were answers you were not given that you sought? 12 12 A. I don't. presented to you and that you answered in the 13 Q. Nothing stands out in your mind as to 13 letters, you believe that's an appropriate legal 14 14 information that we felt we needed before we could analysis? 15 render a final answer? A. Yes. 16 A. Not specifically. Q. Okay 17 Q. As you were working on Exhibit 23 and 17 A. Well, as to 570. I will say that as to 18 Exhibit 570 drafting and revising before it was sent 507. I will not say that as to Exhibit 23. 19 to the client, do you feel you were able to receive Q. Because it's a work in progress? 20 20 sufficient clarification as needed to be fully A. Correct. 21 21 apprised of the opinions you were asked to give? Q. And in each of your letters you recommend 22 A. To the extent that I provided the summary that anybody that intends to rely on the information 23 23 of the tax principles discussed, yes. To the extent in your letters seek independent professional tax that it was what the client was looking for, no. 24 advice? 25 Q. And that you learned after the fact? A. I do. 187 189 With a surety I learned after the fact, A. MR. PAUL: Thank you. No further yes. questions. Q. And your letters, or the letters from your THE WITNESS: Are we done? firm were meant to be general overviews of the tax MR. PAUL: They get a chance to redirect. benefits associated with energy equipment? THE WITNESS: You don't have anything. MR. MORAN: Byron, do you have any? A. No, I would not say that. They are summaries regarding the specific areas of tax law MR. MARTIN: I have a quick clarification. that are discussed in those documents, not THE WITNESS: Sure. necessarily any specific equipment, because that was the information I was lacking. **EXAMINATION** 11 11 Q. Okay. But you reference energy equipment BY MR. MARTIN: 12 in both letters? 12 Q. When you provided services with regards to 13 13 possible tax-saving benefits --A. Yeah. As a general term, yeah. Q. So you knew that those were provisions of A. Yes. 15 15 the tax code that you were giving advice on? -- who was your client? 16 A. It was the general arena that we were A. RaPower-3. 17 17 discussing, yes. Q. Did you have any other client other than 18 Q. You knew you weren't talking about RaPower-3 as it pertains to the services your firm 19 19 employee benefits? provided relating to possible tax-saving benefits? 20 20 A. Correct. A. No. 21 21 Q. And you weren't talking about Social MR. MORAN: All right. I have no further 22 22 Security withholdings? questions at this time. 23 23 A. Yes. However, I would note that we're going to 24 Q. You were talking about the energy tax 24 hold this deposition open pending any future credit and depreciation? deposition of Jessica Anderson or documents that may

August 4, 2017

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

```
190
                                                                                                                                         192
        be subsequently produced by anyone.
                                                                                   to order copies?
                 MR. PAUL: And we don't agree to that.
                                                                                             MR. PAUL: Yes, Defendants do.
        We'll raise that -- cross that bridge when we get to
                                                                                             MR. MARTIN: Yes.
                                                                                             (Deposition concluded at 1:36 p.m.)
                 MR. MARTIN: And I'll certainly
        consider -- I hear the request and consider that as
        well, but I don't necessarily object to it or agree
        to it at this stage.
                 MR. MORAN: I understand.
10
                                                                          10
                 MR. PAUL: I've got two more questions, if
11
        you'll indulge me, and I think they're short answer
12
                                                                          13
13
14
                                                                          14
                    FURTHER EXAMINATION
                                                                          15
15
        BY MR. PAUL:
            Q. Mr. Anderson, did you ever talk to
                                                                          17
17
        Kenneth Birrell regarding this matter?
18
                                                                          18
            A. Not that I recall. I do not know that
19
                                                                          19
        name.
20
                 And you mentioned in response to
21
        Exhibit 569, which is the response to Mr. Buck --
                                                                          22
22
            A. Okay.
23
                                                                          23
            Q. -- that those answers were provided by
                                                                          24
        Mr. Johnson?
25
            A. Yes.
                                                               191
                                                                                                                                        193
          Q. Did you or your wife do any independent
                                                                                        REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
      legal research in support of the answers that are
                                                                               STATE OF UTAH
      contained in Exhibit 569?
                                                                               COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )
          A. It seems -- I seem to remember he brought
                                                                                      I, Denise M. Thomas, Certified Real-Time
      in some documentation to support the answers that he
                                                                               Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter in and
                                                                               for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:
      had basically written for me so to speak.
              You know, there was a writing, and I
                                                                                      That prior to being examined, the witness,
                                                                               TODD F. ANDERSON, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
      believe those -- anyway, there was a writing, and I
      think there was some documentation, but beyond what
                                                                                      That said deposition was taken down by me
                                                                               in stenotype on August 4, 2017, at the place therein named, and was thereafter transcribed and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is set
      he showed me, I did not do any independent
                                                                         10
11
      investigation.
12
          Q. And that was the first representation you
                                                                                forth in the preceding pages;
                                                                         12
13
      had with Mr. Johnson relating to RaPower-3?
                                                                                      I further certify that, in accordance with
                                                                               Rule 30(e), a request having been made to review the transcript, a reading copy was sent to MR. MARTIN for
          A. Yes.
15
              MR. PAUL: Sorry. I know that's more than
                                                                               the witness to read and sign under penalties of
                                                                                perjury and then return to me for filing with
16
      two questions. Let me just make sure I don't have
                                                                                MR. MORAN.
                                                                                     I further certify that I am not kin or
17
      anything else. Nothing further. Thank you.
                                                                               otherwise associated with any of the parties to said
18
              MR. MORAN: Before we go off the record,
                                                                                cause of action and that I am not interested in the
                                                                               outcome thereof
19
      Mr. Anderson, I'm going to ask that you read and sign
                                                                         18
                                                                                      WITNESS MY HAND this __ DAY day of __ MONTH,
20
      your deposition. Madam Court Reporter will be
                                                                         19
21
      providing a copy to your attorney, and he can discuss
                                                                         21
      the details, but the United States is asking that you
                                                                         22
                                                                                             DENISE M. THOMAS, CRR/RPR
23
                                                                                             Utah License No. 104113-7801
24
              THE WITNESS: I understand.
              THE COURT REPORTER: Could I ask who wants
```

August 4, 2017

50 (Page 194)

	194	
1	Case: USA vs. RaPower-3, LLC, et al.	
2	Case No.: 2:15-cv-00828 DN	
3		
4	Reporter: Denise M. Thomas	
5	Date taken: August 4, 2017	
6		
7	A CIVALCIAN ED CAMENT OF DEPONIENT	
9	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
10		
11		
12	I,, do hereby	
13	acknowledge that I have read and examined the	
14	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct	
15	and complete transcription of the testimony given by	
16	me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata	
17	Sheet signed by me.	
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	(DATE) TODD F. ANDERSON	
25		