Steven Bowers: Pro Se 4772 S. Plum Street Murray, UT 84123 Steveb4376@gmail.com 702-613-6985 U.S. DISTRICT COURT

2019 AUG 16 A 11: 51

DISTRICT OF UTAH

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,

Plaintiff,

VS.

STEVEN BOWERS, an individual, Defendant.

ANSWER AND DEMAND FOR JURY

Civil No. 2:19-cv-00530-EJF

Defendant Steven Bowers responds and answers Plaintiff's Complaint, dated July 30, 2019, as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole because the defendant is not familiar with anything to do with any abusive fraud scheme.

SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant Steven Bowers hereby responds to each of the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint as follows:

- 1. Denied.
- 2. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 3. Admit.

- 4. Defendant admits this court has jurisdiction over the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint, but nothing applies.
- 5. Defendant admits that venue is proper but nothing else applies.

FACTS OF THE ABUSIVE TAX SCHEME

- 6. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 7. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 8. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 9. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 10. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 11. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 12. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 13. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 14. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 15. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 16. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 17. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 18. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 19. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 20. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 21. Denied. Does not apply to me.

Amounts Transferred to Defendant Steven Bowers

- 22. Denied.
- 23. Denied.

- 24. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 25. Denied.
- 26. Admit.
- 27. Denied.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers: UCA 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8 or UCA 25-6-202(1)(a) and 25-6-303)

- 28. Defendant incorporates by reference his answers and responses to the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 29. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 30. Denied.
- 31. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- **32.** Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 33. Denied.
- 34. Denied.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers: UCA 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8 or UCA 25-6-202(1)(b) and 25-6-303)

- 35. Defendant incorporates by reference his answers and responses to the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 36. Denied. Does not apply to me.
- 37. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 38. Denied.
- 39. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 40. Denied.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers: UCA 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8 or UCA 25-6-203(1) and 25-6-303)

- 41. Defendant incorporates by reference his answers and responses to the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 42. Denied.
- 43. Denied
- 44. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 45. Denied.
- 46. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 47. Denied.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

- 48. Defendant incorporates by reference his answers and responses to the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 49. Don't know, therefore I deny.
- 50. Denied.
- 51. Denied.
- 52. Denied.
- 53. Denied.
- 54. Denied.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury in this case on all claims so triable under the law.

THIRD DEFENSE - AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on the equitable doctrines or ratification, acquiescence, accord and satisfaction and/or acceptance of benefits.

Second Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on a lack of ripeness.

Third Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on estoppel, Plaintiff should be estopped, for lack of standing, and any other appropriate equitable grounds.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on Plaintiff's unclean hands, waiver, or other affirmative defense listed in Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on Plaintiff's failure to mitigate damages, which he has an affirmative duty to do, and to the extent to which Plaintiff failed to do so, his claims are barred.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part because the present action is without merit and was not brought or asserted in good faith.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on the Statute of Frauds.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

The Complaint should be dismissed in whole or in part based on an accord and

satisfaction.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Facts may come to light supporting additional affirmative defenses. Accordingly, this

Defendant reserves the right to raise such other affirmative defenses including, but not limited to,

any matter considered an affirmative defense under Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, dated July 26, 2019, against

him, Defendant Steven Bowers respectfully requests the following:

1. That the Complaint be dismissed in its entirety;

2. For such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate.

3. Steven Bowers is entitled to recover his attorney's fees if successful. 42 U.S.C.A. §

1988(b).

Dated this day of August, 2019.

STEVEN BOWERS (Acting Pro Se)

/s/ Steven Bowers Steen Erres

Steven Bowers

6

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I filed the foregoing with the Court and mailed or delivered a copy to attorneys of record as follows:

Jonathan Hafen

Jeffrey Balls

Michael Lehr

PARR BROWN GEE & LOVELESS

101 South 200 West #700

SLC, UT 84111

/s/ Steven Bowers Steven Brevers

Steven Bowers